

PLANTS POPULATION INFLUENCE ON WATER USE EFFICIENCY IN MAIZE FROM CRIȘURILOR PLAIN

Ioana BORZA

University of Oradea, Faculty of Environmental Protection
26 Gen. Magheru St., 410048 Oradea, Romania
Corresponding author: borzaioanamaria@yahoo.com

Abstract: *The plants population is very important in optimizing of the maize vegetation factors regime, the researches were carried out in the Agricultural Research and Development Station Oradea during 2006-2009. Turda super is one of the most known cultivar from the area, was use five graduation of the plants population (of 25000 plants/ha, 40000 plants/ha, 55000 plants/ha, 70000 plants/ha and of 85000 plants/ha) and studied their influence on yield in unirrigated and irrigated conditions, on water consumption, water use efficiency and irrigation water use efficiency. In the irrigated variant, for maintaining the soil water reserve on watering depth (0-75 cm) between easily available water content (2240 m³/ha) and field capacity (2782 m³/ha) the soil samples were prelevated ten to ten days; the sprinkler irrigation was used. The experiment had four repetitions placed by block methods. Total consumption of the crop increased together with the plants population increase based on the use of the soil water reserve but the water consumption of the every plants decreased together with plants tickness increase. The biggest yields were obtained in the variant with 55000 plants/ha in the unirrigates conditions but in the drought years the best plant population was 40000 plants/ha. In six years the best plant population for irrigated conditions was 70000 plants/ha. These plants population determined the biggest average yields for every meter of water used and the biggest yields gains for every meter of irrigation water used. The researches sustain the known need of the meteorological prognosis because in the droughty years a plants populations with 25-30% smaller than optimum value is recomanded. The researches were carried out in the project: PN-II-ID-PCE-2008; 1103/2009 "Study of the relationships in the soil-water-plant-atmosphere system on the land affected succesively by excess and deficit of moisture from North Western Romania regarding the improve of the yield quantity and quality".*

Key words: *maize, plants population, water use efficiency, irrigation*

INTRODUCTION

It is considered that the maize plant represents the most surprising system that nature provided to pile energy. Out of one seed weighing about one third of a gramme, it springs and grows in approximately nine weeks two-three meters plant, and in approximately eight weeks it will yield 600-1000 seeds. This process is explained through the existence of an extraordinary "solar" energy transformation "laboratory" into organic energy and, secondly, through the stocking of a great quantity of energy into such concentrated product as the maize seed is. (CRISTEA M. et co. 2004). Optimizing of the water regime provides the integral use of the yield potential of the maize hybrids. In the same time, natural resources of the water are more and more used and as a consequence decrease continuously. The increase of the water use efficiency in maize, the main crops from Romania, it is important.

Water is an essential element for every stage of plant development starting from germination to harvesting. In the international and Romanian literature the quantification of the water use efficiency is realized by indicators wich emphasize all the water quantity used or

irrigation water use (MUNTEAN L.S. and al, 2008). The indicators present the problem of water use from two points of view: emphasize the quantity of yield or yield gain obtained on one liter of water and emphasize the water used on one kilograme of yield.

Researches from the international literature (OGOLA J.B.O et al, 2005, PEAKE A.S et al, 2008, HSIAO THEODORE C., and al., 2009, LEE KHENG HENG and al, 2009) emphasized the influence of the plans population, and irrigation on the water use efficincy in maize.

In the Crisurilor Plain, the researches regarding maize water use efficiency were published by GRUMEZA N. *et all* 1987, DOMUTA C., 2005, 2009; BORZA IOANA 2006, 2009 emphasized the climate and the technology elements influence on the water use efficiency.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

The experience was placed by subdividing plots in the Agricultural Research and Development Station Oradea. The surface of the plot experience: 30 m². Number of repetition: 4. The experience had two factors: the water supply regime (irrigated and non-irrigated) and plants populations (25.000 plants/ha, 40.000 plants/ha, 55.000 plants/ha, 70.000 plants/ha and 85.000 plants/ha).

The plants' water consumption was provided by the decade control of the soil moisture and by the application of the irrigation when the water reserve decreased bellow easily available water content on the irrigation depth of the maize crop (0-75 cm). The optimum water consumption results at the end of the vegetation period, after the water balance in the soil is accomplished. (DOMUTA C., 2005). The water use efficiency (WUE) was calculated as a ratio between yield and water consumption, and the irrigation water use efficiency (IWUE) was calculated as the ratio between the yield gains achieved through irrigation and the irrigation rate. (DOMUTA C., 2005).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

The influence of the plants population on maize yield

In the year 2006, in the non-irrigated as well in the irrigated conditions, the biggest yield of the maize was obtained in 70.000 plants/ha plants population, 9370 kg/ha, respectively 11240 kg/ha. Irrigation determined an yield gain of 1550 kg/ha, very significant statistically. (table 1)

Table 1

The influence of the plants population on maize yield of the Turda super hybrid (kg/ha) in non-irrigated and irrigated conditions, Oradea 2006

| Nr. crt. | Variant | Water regime | | Average on plants population |
|-------------------|------------------|--------------|-----------|------------------------------|
| | | Unirrigated | Irrigated | |
| 1. | 25.000 plants/ha | 7300 | 8010 | 7655 ^{Mt} |
| 2. | 40.000 plants/ha | 8100 | 9780 | 8940 |
| 3. | 55.000 plants/ha | 8610 | 10320 | 9465 |
| 4. | 70.000 plants/ha | 9370 | 11240 | 10305 |
| 5. | 85.000 plants/ha | 8640 | 10420 | 953 |
| Average on regime | | 8404 | 9954 | - |

| | Plants population | Water regime | Water regime x plants population | Plants population x Water regime |
|---------------------|-------------------|--------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| LSD _{5%} | 232 | 346 | 364 | 286 |
| LSD _{1%} | 320 | 530 | 498 | 420 |
| LSD _{0,1%} | 518 | 710 | 828 | 709 |

In unirrigated conditions, in the year 2007, the biggest maize yield was obtained in 40000 plants/ha plants population, 6100 kg/ha, and in irrigated conditions in 70.000 plants/ha plants population, 12300 kg/ha (table 2)

Table 2

The influence of the plants population on maize yield Turda super hybrid (kg/ha) in non-irrigated and irrigated conditions, Oradea 2007

| Nr. crt. | Variant | Water regime | | Average on plants population |
|-------------------|------------------|--------------|-----------|------------------------------|
| | | Unirrigated | Irrigated | |
| 1. | 25.000 plants/ha | 5320 | 9020 | 717 |
| 2. | 40.000 plants/ha | 6100 | 11090 | 8595 |
| 3. | 55.000 plants/ha | 5760 | 12100 | 8930 |
| 4. | 70.000 plants/ha | 5020 | 12300 | 8600 |
| 5. | 85.000 plants/ha | 4130 | 10970 | 7550 |
| Average on regime | | 5266 | 11096 | - |

| | Plants population | Water regime | Water regime x plants population | Plants population x Water regime |
|----------|-------------------|--------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| LSD 5% | 214 | 310 | 362 | 310 |
| LSD 1% | 320 | 520 | 586 | 490 |
| LSD 0,1% | 470 | 970 | 1020 | 720 |

The biggest yield was obtained at 55.000 plants population in unirrigated conditions (7020 kg/ha) and 70.000 plants population in irrigated conditions (13100 q/ha) (table 3)

Table 3

The influence of the plants population on maize yield Turda super hybrid (q/ha) in non-irrigated and irrigated conditions, Oradea 2008

| Nr. crt. | Variant | Water regime | | Average on plants population |
|-------------------|------------------|--------------|-----------|------------------------------|
| | | Unirrigated | Irrigated | |
| 1. | 25.000 plants/ha | 5700 | 9100 | 740 |
| 2. | 40.000 plants/ha | 6400 | 11400 | 840 |
| 3. | 55.000 plants/ha | 7020 | 12700 | 986 |
| 4. | 70.000 plants/ha | 5010 | 13100 | 9055 |
| 5. | 85.000 plants/ha | 4270 | 11000 | 7635 |
| Average on regime | | 5680 | 1146 | - |

| | Plants population | Water regime | Water regime x plants population | Plants population x Water regime |
|----------|-------------------|--------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| LSD 5% | 180 | 170 | 210 | 190 |
| LSD 1% | 290 | 310 | 370 | 310 |
| LSD 0,1% | 510 | 520 | 710 | 680 |

The influence of the plants population on the water consumption in non-irrigated and irrigated maize

The rainfall registered in the year 2006 in the vegetation period of maize was of 3550.0 m³/ha, and the optimum supply with water determined by using an irrigated rate of 1160 m³/ha. The irrigation determined the increase of the water consumption values with 22-23%. The biggest values of the water consumption were registered in the variant with the biggest plants populations of maize, 5490 m³/ha in non-irrigated conditions and 6690 m³/ha in irrigated conditions. (table 4)

Table 4

Total water consumption and covering sources in maize sowing in different plants populations, Oradea 2006

| Variant | Water regime | $\Sigma (e + t)$ | | The covering sources | | | | | |
|------------------|---------------|--------------------|-----|--------------------------------|----|--------------------|----|--------------------|----|
| | | m ³ /ha | % | R _i -R _f | | P _v | | Σm | |
| | | | | m ³ /ha | % | m ³ /ha | % | m ³ /ha | % |
| 25.000 plants/ha | Non-irrigated | 5360 | 100 | 1810 | 34 | 3550 | 66 | - | - |
| | Irrigated | 6560 | 123 | 1850 | 28 | 3550 | 54 | 1160 | 18 |
| 40.000 plants/ha | Non-irrigated | 5460 | 100 | 1810 | 34 | 3550 | 66 | - | - |
| | Irrigated | 6860 | 128 | 2150 | 31 | 3550 | 52 | 1160 | 17 |
| 55000 plants/ha | Non-irrigated | 5372 | 100 | 1822 | 34 | 3550 | 66 | - | - |
| | Irrigated | 6615 | 123 | 1905 | 29 | 3550 | 54 | 1160 | 17 |
| 70.000 plants/ha | Non-irrigated | 5415 | 100 | 1865 | 34 | 3550 | 66 | - | - |
| | Irrigated | 6650 | 123 | 1940 | 29 | 3550 | 53 | 1160 | 18 |
| 85.000 plants/ha | Non-irrigated | 5490 | 100 | 1940 | 35 | 3550 | 65 | - | - |
| | Irrigated | 6690 | 122 | 1980 | 30 | 3550 | 53 | 1160 | 17 |

$\Sigma (e + t)$ = total water consumption

R_i - R_f = soil reserve (initial reserve – final reserve)

P_v = rainfall during the vegetation period

Σm = irrigation rate

In the year 2007 in the 85.000 plants/ha plants population, the biggest values of the total water consumption 4490 m³/ha in non-irrigated conditions and 6648 m³/ha in irrigated condition were registered. (table 5).

Table 5

Total water consumption and covering sources in maize sowing in different plants populations, Oradea 2007

| Variant | Water regime | $\Sigma (e + t)$ | | The covering sources | | | | | |
|------------------|---------------|--------------------|-----|--------------------------------|-----|--------------------|----|--------------------|----|
| | | m ³ /ha | % | R _i -R _f | | P _v | | Σm | |
| | | | | m ³ /ha | % | m ³ /ha | % | m ³ /ha | % |
| 25.000 plants/ha | Non-irrigated | 4038 | 100 | 420 | 10 | 3618 | 90 | - | - |
| | Irrigated | 6238 | 155 | -330 | -5 | 3618 | 57 | 2950 | 48 |
| 40.000 plants/ha | Non-irrigated | 4128 | 100 | 510 | 12 | 3618 | 88 | - | - |
| | Irrigated | 6318 | 153 | -250 | -4 | 3618 | 57 | 2950 | 47 |
| 55.000 plants/ha | Non-irrigated | 4188 | 100 | 570 | 14 | 3618 | 86 | - | - |
| | Irrigated | 6393 | 153 | -175 | -3 | 3618 | 56 | 2950 | 47 |
| 70.000 plants/ha | Non-irrigated | 4218 | 100 | 600 | 14 | 3618 | 86 | - | - |
| | Irrigated | 6558 | 155 | -10 | 0,0 | 3618 | 55 | 2950 | 45 |
| 85.000 plants/ha | Non-irrigated | 4490 | 100 | 872 | 19 | 3618 | 81 | - | - |
| | Irrigated | 6648 | 148 | 80 | 1 | 3618 | 54 | 2950 | 45 |

$\Sigma (e + t)$ = total water consumption

R_i - R_f = soil reserve (initial reserve – final reserve)

P_v = rainfall during the vegetation period

Σm = irrigation rate

At the 85.000 plants/ha plants population was registered the biggest values of the total water consumption, 4490 m³/ha in unirrigated conditions and 6648 m³/ha in irrigated conditions.(table 6.)

Table 6

Total water consumption and covering sources in maize sowing in different plants population, Oradea 2008

| Variant | Water regime | $\Sigma (e + t)$ | | The covering sources | | | | | |
|------------------|---------------|--------------------|-----|--------------------------------|----|--------------------|----|--------------------|----|
| | | m ³ /ha | % | R _i -R _f | | P _v | | Σm | |
| | | | | m ³ /ha | % | m ³ /ha | % | m ³ /ha | % |
| 25.000 plants/ha | Non-irrigated | 4370 | 100 | 1260 | 29 | 3110 | 71 | - | - |
| | Irrigated | 6760 | 155 | 330 | 5 | 3110 | 46 | 3320 | 49 |
| 40.000 plants/ha | Non-irrigated | 4390 | 100 | 1280 | 29 | 3110 | 71 | - | - |
| | Irrigated | 6810 | 155 | 380 | 6 | 3110 | 46 | 3320 | 48 |
| 55.000 plants/ha | Non-irrigated | 4410 | 100 | 1300 | 29 | 3110 | 71 | - | - |
| | Irrigated | 6900 | 156 | 470 | 7 | 3110 | 45 | 3320 | 48 |
| 70.000 plants/ha | Non-irrigated | 4570 | 100 | 1460 | 32 | 3110 | 68 | - | - |
| | Irrigated | 6940 | 152 | 510 | 7 | 3110 | 45 | 3320 | 48 |
| 85.000 plants/ha | Non-irrigated | 4620 | 100 | 1510 | 33 | 3110 | 67 | - | - |
| | Irrigated | 7010 | 152 | 580 | 8 | 3110 | 44 | 3320 | 48 |

$\Sigma (e + t)$ = total water consumption

R_i - R_f = soil reserve (initial reserve – final reserve)

P_v = rainfall during the vegetation period

Σm = irrigation rate

The influence of the plants populations on water use efficiency (WUE) in maize

In the year 2006, the biggest value of the water use efficiency was obtained in 70.000 plants/ha plants population in non-irrigated conditions (1.73 kg/m³) and also in irrigated conditions (1.69 kg/m³). (table 7)

Table 7

The influence of the plants populations of the Turda super hybrid on water use efficiency (WUE) in non-irrigated and irrigated conditions, Oradea 2006

| Density | Water regime | WUE | | Difference |
|------------------|---------------|-------------------|-----|------------|
| | | Kg/m ³ | % | % |
| 25.000 plants/ha | Non-irrigated | 1.36 | 100 | - |
| | Irrigated | 1.22 | 90 | -10 |
| 40.000 plants/ha | Non-irrigated | 1.51 | 100 | - |
| | Irrigated | 1.43 | 94 | -6 |
| 55.000 plants/ha | Non-irrigated | 1.60 | 100 | - |
| | Irrigated | 1.56 | 98 | -2 |
| 70.000 plants/ha | Non-irrigated | 1.73 | 100 | - |
| | Irrigated | 1.69 | 98 | -2 |
| 85.000 plants/ha | Non-irrigated | 1.57 | 100 | - |
| | Irrigated | 1.56 | 99 | -1 |

In non-irrigated conditions, in the year 2007, the biggest values of the water use efficiency were registered in the 40.000 plants/ha plants population, and in the irrigated conditions in 55.000 plans/ha plants population. (table 8)

In unirrigated conditions in the year 2008, the biggest value of the water use efficncy was registered at the 55.000 plants/ha plants population, 1.59 kg/m³, At this plant population but also in the 70.000 plants/ha plants population was registered the biggest WUE 1.89 for irrigated conditions. (table 9)

Table 8

The influence of the plants populations of the Turda super hybrid on water use efficiency (WUE) in non-irrigated and irrigated conditions, Oradea 2007

| Plants population | Water regime | WUE | | Difference |
|-------------------|---------------|-------------------|-----|------------|
| | | Kg/m ³ | % | % |
| 25.000 plants/ha | Non-irrigated | 1.32 | 100 | - |
| | Irrigated | 1.45 | 109 | 9 |
| 40.000 plants/ha | Non-irrigated | 1.48 | 100 | - |
| | Irrigated | 1.76 | 119 | 19 |
| 55.000 plants/ha | Non-irrigated | 1.38 | 100 | - |
| | Irrigated | 1.89 | 137 | 37 |
| 7.,000 plants/ha | Non-irrigated | 1.19 | 100 | - |
| | Irrigated | 1.88 | 157 | 57 |
| 85.000 plants/ha | Non-irrigated | 0.92 | 100 | - |
| | Irrigated | 1.65 | 179 | 79 |

Table 9

The influence of the plants populations of the Turda super hybrid on water use efficiency (WUE) in non-irrigated and irrigated conditions, Oradea 2008

| Plants population | Water regime | WUE | | Difference |
|-------------------|---------------|-------------------|-----|------------|
| | | Kg/m ³ | % | % |
| 25.000 plants/ha | Non-irrigated | 1.30 | 100 | - |
| | Irrigated | 1.35 | 100 | - |
| 40.000 plants/ha | Non-irrigated | 1.46 | 105 | 5 |
| | Irrigated | 1.67 | 124 | 24 |
| 55.000 plants/ha | Non-irrigated | 1.59 | 122 | 22 |
| | Irrigated | 1.89 | 140 | 40 |
| 70.000 plants/ha | Non-irrigated | 1.09 | 84 | -16 |
| | Irrigated | 1.89 | 140 | 40 |
| 85.000 plants/ha | Non-irrigated | 0.92 | 71 | -29 |
| | Irrigated | 1.57 | 116 | 16 |

The influence of the plants populations on irrigation water use efficiency (IWUE) of maize

In the year 2006, the biggest yield gain of 1.61 kg yield gain for 1 m³ of irrigation water used was registered in 70.000 plants/ha plants population. (table 10)

Table 10

The influence of the plants populations of the maize Turda super hybrid on irrigation water use efficiency (IWUE), Oradea 2006

| Plants population | IWUE | | Difference | |
|-------------------|---------------------------|-----|------------------------|-----|
| | yield gain/m ³ | % | Kg gain/m ³ | % |
| 25.000 plants/ha | 0.61 | 100 | - | - |
| 40.000 plants/ha | 1.45 | 237 | 0.84 | 137 |
| 55.000 plants/ha | 1.47 | 242 | 0.86 | 142 |
| 70.000 plants/ha | 1.61 | 264 | 1.00 | 164 |
| 85.000 plants/ha | 1.53 | 252 | 0.92 | 152 |

In the year 2007, the biggest yield gain, obtained in 1 m³ of irrigation water used was registered in 70.000 plants/haplants population 2.47 kg yield gain/m³. (table 11).

The biggest irrigation water use efficiency was registered in the year 2008 at the 55.000 plants/ha plants population, 2.45 kg yield gain/m³. At bigger or smaller plants population the values of the IWUE were smaller (table 12).

Table 11

The influence of the plants populations of the maize Turda super hybrid on irrigation water use efficiency (IWUE), Oradea 2007

| Plants population | IWUE | | Difference | |
|-------------------|---------------------------|-----|------------------------|----|
| | yield gain/m ³ | % | Kg gain/m ³ | % |
| 25.000 plants/ha | 1.25 | 100 | - | - |
| 40.000 plants/ha | 1.69 | 135 | 0.44 | 35 |
| 55.000 plants/ha | 2.15 | 172 | 0.90 | 72 |
| 70.000 plants/ha | 2.47 | 197 | 1.22 | 97 |
| 85.000 plants/ha | 2.32 | 185 | 1.17 | 85 |

Table 12

The influence of the plants populations of the maize Turda super hybrid on irrigation water use efficiency (IWUE), Oradea 2008

| Plants population | IWUE | | Difference | |
|-------------------|---------------------------|-----|------------------------|-----|
| | yield gain/m ³ | % | Kg gain/m ³ | % |
| 25.000 plants/ha | 1.02 | 100 | - | - |
| 40.000 plants/ha | 1.51 | 148 | 0.49 | 48 |
| 55.000 plants/ha | 1.71 | 168 | 0.69 | 68 |
| 70.000 plants/ha | 2.43 | 238 | 1.41 | 138 |
| 85.000 plants/ha | 2.02 | 199 | 1.00 | 99 |

CONCLUSIONS

The plants populations is very important in optimizing the maize vegetation factors regime. The researches were carried out in the Agricultural Research and Development Station Oradea used five graduation of the plants populations and their influences on yield, water consumption, water use efficiency and irrigation water use efficiency were studied

In non-irrigated and also in irrigated conditions, the maize plants populations influence the values of the yield obtained. In non-irrigated conditions, the biggest yield was obtained in 55.000 plants populations, except the dry year 2007, in which the biggest yield was obtained in 40.000 plants/ha plants population. In irrigating variants the greatest value of the yield was achieved at 70.000 plants/ha plants population. In 2 years in non-irrigated conditions the biggest water use efficiency (WUE) in maize was obtained at the 70.000 plants/ha plants population.

In two of the three years of the research period, the biggest values of the irrigation water use efficiency (IWUE) in maize were obtained at the 70000 plants/ha plants population. In the technology of maize Turda super hybrid, in the Crisurilor Plain conditions, the recommendation is to use the 55.000 plants/haplants population in non-irrigated conditions and 70.000 plants/ha in irrigated conditions.

Acknowledgments

The researches were carried out in the project: PN-II-ID-PCE-2008; 1103/2009 "Study of the relationships in the soil-water-plant-atmosphere system on the land affected succesively by excess and deficit of moisture from North Western Romania regarding the improve of the yield quantity and quality".

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. BORZA IOANA, 2006 - Cercetări privind influența unor măsuri fitotehnice asupra eficienței valorificării apei de către cultura porumbului în condițiile Câmpiei Crișurilor. Teza de doctorat, USAMV Cluj-Napoca p. 149-159
2. BORZA IOANA MARIA, 2009 - Hybrid Influence on Water Use Efficiency in Unirrigated and Irrigated Maize in the Crișurilor Plain Conditions Bulletin of University of Agricultural Sciences and Veterinary Medicine Cluj Napoca, Agriculture, Vol 66 (1) Print ISSN 1843-5246, Electronic ISSN 1843-5386 pp. 273-279
3. CRISTEA M., 2004 - Porumbul- studiu monografic, vol I (cap. 1,3,4,5,6,15), Ed. Academiei Române, București
4. DOMUȚA C., SANDOR MARIA, BORZA IOANA, SARCA GH., AURORA VENIG, 2005 – The influence of some agrophytotechnical factors on water use efficiency from maize in Crisurilor Plain conditions Analele ICDA Fundulea, pp.214-230
5. DOMUȚA C., 2009 – Irigarea culturilor. Ed. Universității Oradea p. 264-294
6. DOMUȚA C. și col., 2009 – Irigațiile în Câmpia Crișurilor 1967-2008, Editura Universității din Oradea p.253-265
7. GRUMEZA N. and al, 1989, Prognoza și programarea udărilor în sistemele de irigații, Ed Ceres București
8. HSIAO THEODORE C., and al., 2009- AquaCrop -The FAO CropModel to Stimulate Yield Response to Water: III.Parameterization and Testing for Maize. Agronomy Journal, May-June 2009, Vol 101, No. 3: 448-459
9. LEE KHENG HENG and al, 2009 – Validating the FAO AquaCrop Model for Irrigated and Water Deficient Field Maize. Agronomy Journal, May-June 2009, Vol 101, No. 3: 488-498
10. MUNTEAN L.S., SOLOVĂSTRU CERNEA, GAVRILĂ MORAR, MARCEL DUDA, DAN VÂRBAN, SORIN MUNTEAN, 2008 – Fitotehnie.Ed. AcademicPres Cluj-Napoca, 161-210
11. OGOLA J.B.O., WHEELER T.R., HARRIS P.M., 2005 – Water use of maize in response to planting plants population and irrigation. South African Journal of Plant and Soil Vol 22, No. 2, p. 116-121
12. A.S. PEAKE, M.J. ROBERTSON, R.J. BIDSTRUP, 2008 – Optimising maize plant population and irrigation strategies on the Darling Downs using the APSIM crop simulation model. Published in Australian Journal of Experimental Agriculture 48 (3) p. 313-325