Research Journal of Agricultural Science, 57 (4), 2025; ISSN: 2668-926X;

http://doi.org/10.59463/RJAS.2025.4.44

INTEGRATED WATER RESOURCE MANAGEMENT FOR CLIMATE-RESILIENT AGRICULTURE

C. M. TIMIȘ[1], L. VORNICU[1], R. PAȘCALĂU[1], L. ȘMULEAC[1], F. PRUNAR[1]

[1]University of Life Sciences "King Mihai I" from Timișoara
Corresponding author: raul.pascalau@usvt.ro

Abstract. *Climate change poses a critical threat to global agricultural systems, primarily through its disruption of hydrological cycles, leading to increased water scarcity, erratic rainfall, and more frequent and severe droughts and floods. These challenges undermine agricultural productivity and food security, necessitating a shift from traditional, fragmented water management approaches towards a holistic and adaptive paradigm. This research assesses the efficacy of Integrated Water Resource Management (IWRM) as a foundational strategy for building climate-resilient agriculture. IWRM is a process that promotes the coordinated development and management of water, land, and related resources to maximize economic and social welfare without compromising ecosystem sustainability. Through a systematic review of case studies and meta-analysis of project outcomes from diverse agro-climatic zones, this research evaluates the impact of key IWRM components, including participatory governance, conjunctive use of surface and groundwater, rainwater harvesting, soil moisture conservation, and the use of efficient irrigation technologies. Our findings demonstrate that agricultural systems implementing IWRM principles exhibit significantly enhanced resilience to climate variability. Specifically, IWRM adoption led to a 20-35% improvement in water productivity, a 15-30% reduction in crop failure risk during drought periods, and a more equitable distribution of water resources among stakeholders. This research identifies participatory water user associations and adaptive management frameworks as critical success factors, enabling local communities to collectively manage resources and respond to changing climatic conditions. Conversely, top-down implementation, lack of financing, and weak institutional capacity were the primary barriers to effective IWRM. We conclude that IWRM is not merely a technical toolkit but a vital governance and planning framework that can synchronize agricultural water use with ecological limits and climatic realities. Its widespread adoption is essential for transforming agriculture into a buffer against, rather than a victim of, climate change, thereby securing sustainable food production in an increasingly water-insecure world.*

Keywords*: drip irrigation; central pivot irrigation; sustainable; hydrogel; SWAT model.*

INTRODUCTION

Water is the lifeblood of agriculture, a sector that accounts for approximately 70% of global freshwater withdrawals. The stability of this vital resource is now fundamentally threatened by climate change, which is intensifying the global hydrological cycle. The manifestations of this disruption are increasingly evident: altered precipitation patterns, more frequent and intense droughts and floods, shifting snowmelt regimes, and rising rates of evapotranspiration. For agriculture, these changes translate into profound uncertainties in water availability, directly jeopardizing crop yields, livestock production, and ultimately, global food security (SMULEAC ET AL., 2024).

The vulnerability of agricultural systems is particularly acute in rainfed regions, which constitute over 80% of the world's farmland and are home to most of the global poor. Conventional approaches to water management in agriculture, often characterized by sectoral fragmentation, supply-side infrastructure projects, and a lack of coordination between surface and groundwater use, are proving inadequate in the face of this new climatic reality (MORISON ET AL., 2008).

These siloed methods frequently lead to the over-exploitation of aquifers, the degradation of water quality, and social conflicts, thereby exacerbating the very vulnerabilities that climate change imposes.

In response to these interconnected challenges, Integrated Water Resource Management (IWRM) has emerged as a leading global paradigm for achieving sustainable water governance. Defined by the Global Water Partnership as "a process which promotes the coordinated development and management of water, land, and related resources in order to maximize the resultant economic and social welfare in an equitable manner without compromising the sustainability of vital ecosystems," IWRM offers a comprehensive framework.

Its core principles include managing water at the basin or catchment scale; promoting the participation of all stakeholders, including farmers, in decision-making; recognizing water as both an economic and social good; and centrally integrating gender equity.

For agriculture, IWRM translates into a suite of practices and policies designed to enhance climate resilience.

This includes the conjunctive management of surface and groundwater to buffer against variability, the widespread adoption of water-saving technologies like drip irrigation, the implementation of landscape-based practices such as rainwater harvesting and soil moisture conservation, and the establishment of robust institutional arrangements for conflict resolution and adaptive allocation (UDDIN ET AL., 2012).

The central hypothesis of this research is that the implementation of IWRM principles provides a structurally superior approach for building climate-resilient agricultural systems compared to conventional, fragmented water management (ARORA, 2019).

While the conceptual appeal of IWRM is widely acknowledged, a critical evidence gap remains regarding its quantifiable impact on agricultural resilience metrics, such as water productivity, yield stability, and drought recovery, across diverse contexts.

Many studies have examined individual components of IWRM (e.g., a specific irrigation technology), but few have synthesized the outcomes of fully integrated approaches that combine governance, technology, and landscape management (PATEL ET AL., 2020).

This research, therefore, seeks to systematically assess the role of IWRM in fostering climate-resilient agriculture. It is guided by the following research questions:

(1) To what extent does the application of IWRM principles enhance the resilience of agricultural systems to climate-induced water stress?

(2) What specific IWRM strategies and combinations thereof are most effective in different agro-ecological and socio-economic contexts?

(3) What are the primary barriers to the successful implementation of IWRM for agricultural resilience, and what enabling factors can overcome them? By addressing these questions, this research aims to provide a robust evidence base to guide policymakers, water resource managers, and agricultural practitioners in leveraging IWRM as a cornerstone strategy for adapting to a changing climate.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

This research employed a systematic review and meta-synthesis methodology to comprehensively evaluate the global evidence on IWRM for climate-resilient agriculture (SHIVANNA, 2022). The research was conducted in three sequential phases to ensure a rigorous and transparent synthesis of both quantitative and qualitative data.

1. Literature search and screening: a systematic search was conducted across multiple electronic databases, including Web of Science, Scopus, Google Scholar, and the online

Research Journal of Agricultural Science, 57 (4), 2025; ISSN: 2668-926X;
http://doi.org/10.59463/RJAS.2025.4.44

repositories of key international organizations (e.g., FAO, IWMI, World Bank). The search covered publications from January 2000 to December 2023. The search strategy combined keywords from three conceptual domains using Boolean operators:· domain 1 (Concept): (“Integrated Water Resource Management” or “IWRM” or “water governance” or “participatory water management” or “conjunctive water use” or “river basin management”); domain 2 (Intervention): (“climate-resilient agriculture” or “drought resilience” or “water productivity” or “rainwater harvesting” or “soil moisture conservation” or “efficient irrigation” or “water-user association”); domain 3 (Outcome): (“agricultural productivity” or “yield stability” or “water security” or “adaptive capacity” or “vulnerability”).

The initial search yielded over 3,500 records. After duplicate removal, titles and abstracts were screened against pre-defined inclusion criteria:

(a) the research must describe an IWRM intervention or assess a system where IWRM principles are applied in an agricultural context.

(b) it must explicitly link the intervention to climate variability or change.

(c) it must report quantitative or qualitative outcomes related to agricultural resilience.

2. Data extraction and categorization: a total of more than 50 studies (comprising peer-reviewed articles, project reports, and case studies) met the full inclusion criteria. A standardized data extraction form was used to collect information on research context: geographic location, agro-ecological zone, climate stressors (MIKHAYLOV ET AL., 2020); IWRM components: specific strategies implemented, all of them categorized as:

- governance & institutions: (e.g., Water user associations, basin committees, water allocation policies).

- technological & infrastructural: (e.g., drip/sprinkler irrigation, soil moisture sensors, check dams, percolation tanks).

- management & operational: (e.g., conjunctive use, deficit irrigation, rainwater harvesting, conservation agriculture); resilience metrics: reported data on water productivity (crop per drop), yield under drought/stress, reliability of water supply, equity in distribution, and environmental sustainability indicators; barriers and enablers: documented challenges and success factors for implementation (PASCALAU ET AL., 2025).

3. Data analysis: the analysis combined quantitative and qualitative methods. For the subset of studies (n=45) that reported comparable quantitative data (e.g., mean water productivity with and without IWRM intervention), a meta-analysis was performed.

The effect size was calculated as the proportional improvement, and a random-effects model was used to account for heterogeneity. The overall mean effect and its confidence interval were calculated. For the broader set of studies, a qualitative thematic analysis was conducted.

This involved coding the extracted data to identify recurring themes, patterns of success and failure, and causal pathways linking IWRM components to resilience outcomes. The analysis focused on understanding the interactions between different components (e.g., how effective governance enables technology adoption) and the context-dependency of the results.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

Impact of IWRM on resilience metrics the meta-analysis of quantitative data revealed that agricultural systems implementing IWRM principles showed significant positive outcomes.

The aggregated data indicated an average increase in water productivity of 28% (95% CI: 22% - 34%), meaning more crop output was achieved per unit of water consumed. Furthermore, case studies reporting on drought periods consistently showed that IWRM systems experienced 15-30% lower rates of crop failure compared to non-IWRM control areas.

The qualitative analysis strongly highlighted that the combination of infrastructural interventions (e.g., rainwater harvesting structures) with robust water user associations (WUAs) led to more equitable water distribution during scarcity, reducing social conflict (LI ET AL., 2015).

Key effective IWRM strategies the thematic synthesis identified several high-impact IWRM strategies: conjunctive management of surface and groundwater: In regions like India's Punjab and California's Central Valley, coordinated use of canals and wells provided a critical buffer, using surface water in wet periods to recharge aquifers and utilizing groundwater during droughts (ZHANG ET AL., 2020). Participatory Governance through WUAs: The establishment of functional, inclusive WUAs was a cornerstone of success.

These associations were pivotal in creating and enforcing water allocation schedules, maintaining infrastructure, and collecting fees, fostering a sense of ownership and collective responsibility. Integrated landscape approaches: combining in-situ soil moisture conservation (e.g., mulching, zero-tillage) with ex-situ rainwater harvesting (e.g., small reservoirs, farm ponds) significantly enhanced the capture and productive use of rainfall, proving particularly effective in rainfed systems.

Barriers to implementation the analysis also identified consistent barriers. The most frequent was Institutional Fragmentation (noted in 70% of studies), where different government agencies managed water, agriculture, and environment with poor coordination (LEVIDOW ET AL., 2014). Financial constraints (65%) were a major hurdle for initial infrastructure investment and long-term maintenance. Lack of technical capacity (55%) among farmers and local officials to implement and manage complex IWRM systems was also a significant impediment.

The synergistic nature of IWRM for resilience the results underscore that the resilience benefits of IWRM are not derived from isolated technological fixes but from the synergistic integration of its components.

A drip irrigation system (technology) alone may save water, but if governance is weak, the saved water might simply be used to expand irrigated area or could lead to aquifer mining if not managed (SCHOENGOLD ET AL., 2007). When the same technology, even with IoT involved, where possible, is deployed within a WUA that collectively agrees to limit groundwater extraction (governance), the saved water can be allocated to environmental flows or stored as a buffer for dry years, thereby enhancing systemic resilience and contributing this way also to soil and earth improvement (PASCALAU ET AL., 2025) (OBAIDEEN ET AL., 2022).

This synergy between "hard" infrastructure and "soft" institutions is the hallmark of effective IWRM. It creates a system that is not only more efficient but also more adaptive, capable of reallocating resources and changing rules in response to climatic shocks.

IWRM as an antidote to maladaptation a critical discussion point is how IWRM serves as a safeguard against maladaptation. For instance, in response to drought, a singular focus on drilling more tubewells can deplete groundwater, benefiting a few in the short term while jeopardizing the resource for all in the long term, a classic maladaptation (JARAMILO ET AL., 2020).

IWRM, with its basin-scale perspective and participatory governance, forces a consideration of such trade-offs. It promotes solutions that are collectively beneficial and sustainable, such as managed aquifer recharge and demand management, thereby avoiding actions that simply shift vulnerability from one group or time to another.

The path forward: mainstreaming IWRM in climate policy the identified barriers highlight that transitioning to IWRM is as much an institutional and political challenge as a technical one (HABIB-UR-RAHMAN, 2022).

Research Journal of Agricultural Science, 57 (4), 2025; ISSN: 2668-926X;

http://doi.org/10.59463/RJAS.2025.4.44

To overcome these, IWRM must be mainstreamed into national climate adaptation plans and agricultural policies.

This requires:

- policy coherence: aligning water, agricultural, and environmental policies to support IWRM goals.
- innovative financing: blending public investment with climate finance and payment for ecosystem services to fund IWRM infrastructure and institutional strengthening.
- capacity building: investing in training for farmers, WUAs, and government officials on the principles and practices of adaptive water management. In conclusion, the evidence firmly positions IWRM as an indispensable framework for navigating the water-climate-agriculture nexus.

By fostering integration, participation, and adaptability, IWRM equips agricultural systems with the tools to not just withstand climate shocks but to thrive despite them.

CONCLUSIONS

This comprehensive synthesis leads to the firm conclusion that Integrated Water Resource Management (IWRM) provides a critically necessary and effective framework for building climate-resilient agricultural systems.

The research demonstrates that the holistic application of IWRM principles, managing water at the basin scale, fostering participatory governance, and integrating a diverse portfolio of supply and demand management strategies, confers significant and measurable advantages in the face of climate-induced water stress.

The documented outcomes, including substantial improvements in water productivity, reduced vulnerability to drought, and more equitable resource distribution, provide robust evidence that IWRM moves agriculture beyond mere coping mechanisms towards genuine, long-term adaptive capacity.

The central tenet of this research is that resilience is not achieved through a single technology but through the synergistic functioning of an integrated system where infrastructure, institutions, and informed management interact to create a whole that is greater than the sum of its parts.

A paramount conclusion is the non-negotiable role of participatory governance as the backbone of successful IWRM. The evidence consistently shows that technocratic solutions imposed from the top down are fragile and often fail. In contrast, inclusive Water User Associations and basin committees empower local stakeholders, particularly farmers, to become active managers of their shared resource.

This collective action builds social capital, enhances the legitimacy of management decisions, and creates a flexible institution capable of adapting allocation rules in response to climatic variability. Therefore, investing in the establishment and strengthening of local water governance institutions is not an ancillary activity but a core investment in climate resilience itself. It is the mechanism that ensures technical interventions are appropriate, maintained, and used sustainably.

However, the path to widespread IWRM implementation is fraught with challenges. The research clearly identifies that institutional silos, limited financial resources, and capacity gaps represent significant barriers.

Overcoming these requires a fundamental shift in how water is valued and governed. Policymakers must prioritize breaking down administrative fragmentation between water, agriculture, and environment ministries to enable integrated planning. Financial models must evolve to support the long-term operational costs of IWRM, including the maintenance of community-managed infrastructure and the functioning of governance bodies, potentially through innovative instruments like climate-resilient water funds.

Furthermore, continuous capacity development and knowledge exchange are essential to equip all actors with the skills needed for adaptive management.

In final analysis, the imperative for adopting IWRM is clear. As climate change continues to disrupt hydrological cycles, the business-as-usual approach to agricultural water management becomes increasingly untenable and risky.

IWRM offers a proven pathway to a more sustainable, equitable, and resilient future for agriculture. It aligns agricultural water use with ecological limits and climatic realities, transforming the sector from a passive victim of change into an active agent of sustainability. The findings of this research serve as a compelling call to action for governments, international agencies, and farming communities to collaboratively champion and implement IWRM as the cornerstone of climate adaptation strategies, thereby securing water and food for generations to come.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

ALBAJI, M., GOLABI, M., NASAB, S.B., JAHANSHAHI, M., 2014, "Land suitability evaluation for surface, sprinkler and drip irrigation systems", Transactions of the Royal Society of South Africa.

ARORA, N.K., 2019, "Impact of climate change on agriculture production and its sustainable solutions", Environmental Sustainability.

AZIZ, M., RIZVI, S.A., IQBAL, M.A., SYED, S., ASHRAF, M., ANWER, S., USMAN, M., TAHIR, N., KHAN, A., ASGHAR, S., AKHTAR, J., 2021, "A Sustainable irrigation system for small landholdings of rainfed Punjab, Pakistan", Sustainability.

BALAN M., GHERMAN E.D., GHERMAN R., BRAD I., PAȘCALĂU R., POPESCU G., TRASCA T.I., 2022, "Sustainable nutrition for increased food security related to Romanian consumers' behaviour". Nutrients, 14 (22), 4892

DZIEKAŃSKI P., PRUS P., SOŁTYK P., WROŃSKA M., IMBREA F., SMULEAC L., 2022, "Spatial disproportions of the green economy and the financial situation of Polish Voivodeships in 2010–2020", Sustainability 14 (21), 13824.

FEDELE, G., DONATTI, C.I., BORNACELLY, I., HOLE, D.G., 2021, "Nature-dependent people: Mapping human direct use of nature for basic needs across the tropics", Global Environmental Change.

HABIB-UR-RAHMAN, M., AHMAD, A., RAZA, A., HASNAIN, M.U., ALHARBY HF,ALZAHRANI, Y.M., BAMAGOOS, A.A., HAKEEM, K.R., AHMAD, S., NASIM, W., ALI, S., 2022, "Impact of climate change on agricultural production; Issues, challenges, and opportunities in Asia". Frontiers in Plant Science.

HOLZAPFEL, E.A., PANNUNZIO, A., LORITE, I., SILVA DE OLIVEIRA, A., FARKAS, I., 2009, "Diseño y Manejo de Sistemas de Riego", Chilean journal of agricultural research.

JARAMILLO, S., GRATEROL, E., PULVER, E., 2020, "Sustainable transformation of rainfed to irrigated agriculture through water harvesting and smart crop management practices", Frontiers in Sustainable Food Systems.

LAMM, F.R., 2002, "Advantages and disadvantages of subsurface drip irrigation. ininternational meeting on advances in drip/ micro irrigation, Puerto de La Cruz, Tenerife,Canary Islands".

LEVIDOW, L., ZACCARIA, D., MAIA, R., VIVAS, E., TODOROVIC, M., SCARDIGNO, A., 2014, "Improving water-efficient irrigation: Prospects and difficulties of innovative practices", Agricultural Water Management.

LI, Y., SONG, P., PEI, Y., FENG, J., 2015,"Effects of lateral flushing on emitter clogging and biofilm components in drip irrigation systems with reclaimed water", Irrigation Science.

LOUCKS, D.P., VAN BEEK, E., 2017, "Water resource systems planning and management: An introduction to methods, models, and applications", Springer.

MCLEAN, R.K., RANJAN, S., KLASSEN, G., 2000, "Spray evaporation losses from sprinkler irrigation systems", Canadian Agricultural Engineering.

MIKHAYLOV, A., MOISEEV, N., ALESHIN, K., BURKHARDT, T., 2020, “Global climate change and greenhouse effect”, Entrepreneurship and Sustainability Issues.

MORISON, J.I., BAKER, N.R, MULLINEAUX, P.M., DAVIES, W.J., 2008, “Improving water use in crop production. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B.”, Biological Sciences.

OBAIDEEN, K., YOUSEF, B.A., ALMALLAHI, M.N., TAN, Y.C., MAHMOUD, M., JABER, H., RAMADAN, M., 2022, “An overview of smart irrigation systems using IoT”, Energy Nexus.

PASCALAU R., SMULEAC L., POPESCU C.A., IMBREA F., SMULEAC A., 2025, “The role of multilingual education in environmental and earth sciences curricula”, International Multidisciplinary Scientific GeoConference: SGEM 5 (1), 855-86.

PASCALAU R., POPESCU C.A., SMULEAC L., HORABLAGA A., IMBREA F., 2025, “Teaching for tomorrow: the importance of earth and environmental sciences in 21st century education in higher education institutions”, International Multidisciplinary Scientific GeoConference: SGEM 5 (1), 841-848.

PATEL, N.H., PRAJAPATI, C.R., 2020, “Agricultural sprinkler for irrigation system”, International Journal of Engineering and Technical Research.

REDDY, S.R., 2014, “Introduction to agronomy and principles of crop production”, Kalyani Publishers.

SADRAS, V.O., VILLALOBOS, F.J., FERERES, E., 2016, “Crop Development and Growth. In: Villalobos F, Fereres E. (eds) Principles of Agronomy for Sustainable Agriculture”. *Springer, Cham.*

SCHOENGOLD, K., ZILBERMAN, D., 2007, “The economics of water, irrigation, and development”, Handbook of agricultural economics.

SELEIMAN, M.F., AL-SUHAIBANI, N., ALI, N., AKMAL, M., ALOTAIBI, M., REFAY, Y., DINDAROGLU, T., ABDUL-WAJID, H.H., BATTAGLIA, M.L., 2021, “Drought stress impacts on plants and different approaches to alleviate its adverse effects”, Plants.

SHIVANNA, K.R., 2022, “Climate change and its impact on biodiversity and human welfare”, Proceedings of the Indian National Science Academy.

SMULEAC L., IMBREA F., SMULEAC A., PASCALAU RAUL, BERTICI R., 2024, “The influence of agricultural activities on the quality of underground water in the central area of the Banat Plain under the conditions of adaptation to climate change”, International Multidisciplinary Scientific GeoConference Surveying Geology and Mining Ecology Management, SGEM, ISSN: 1314-2704, Vol 24 (4).

UDDIN, J., SMITH, R., HANCOCK, N., FOLEY, J., 2012, “A novel technique to measure the total evaporation and its components during sprinkler irrigation. In Proceedings of the ICID and Irrigation Australia 2012 Conference and Trade Show”, University of Southern Queensland.

ZHANG, S., RASOOL, G., GUO, X., SEN, L., CAO, K., 2020, “Effects of different irrigation methods on environmental factors, rice production, and water use efficiency”, Water.