

FROM FARM TO FORK: MANAGING THE THREAT OF ANTIMICROBIAL RESISTANCE IN AGRICULTURE

E. BĂDESCU¹, V. DOMȘA¹, A. MINEA¹, I. BUCUR¹, R. PAȘCALĂU¹

¹University of Life Sciences “King Mihai I” from Timișoara

Corresponding author: raulpascalau@usvt.ro

Abstract. The current research provides a critical framework for addressing the escalating threat of antimicrobial resistance (AMR), a multifaceted crisis jeopardizing global food safety, public health, and sustainable agriculture. This paper examines AMR not as an isolated issue but as a systemic contaminant traversing the entire food chain, from primary production to consumption. We analyse the primary risk points: the selective pressure exerted by prophylactic and metaphylactic antimicrobial use in intensive livestock and aquaculture systems; the environmental dissemination of resistant bacteria and genes through manure, wastewater, and soil; and the subsequent cross-contamination of food during processing and distribution. In response, we propose an integrated, four-pillar management strategy. First, reducing the need for antimicrobials by fundamentally improving animal health through enhanced welfare, robust biosecurity, vaccination, and precision nutrition. Second, optimizing use through strict veterinary stewardship, diagnostic-guided therapy, and adherence to withdrawal periods. Third, breaking environmental pathways via advanced manure treatment technologies, such as thermophilic composting and anaerobic digestion, to degrade resistance determinants before they enter ecosystems. Fourth, preventing food chain transmission through improved hygiene protocols at slaughter and processing, along with targeted consumer education on safe food handling. The successful implementation of this holistic approach is challenged by significant barriers, including economic disincentives for farmers, regulatory fragmentation across sectors, gaps in integrated surveillance, and low consumer awareness. We conclude that mitigating AMR requires unprecedented collaboration and aligned incentives across all stakeholders, producers, veterinarians, processors, retailers, regulators, and consumers. A coordinated “Farm to Fork” strategy, supported by coherent policies, transparent data sharing, and economic mechanisms that reward stewardship, is indispensable for preserving the efficacy of antimicrobials, protecting public health, and ensuring the resilience and sustainability of our global food systems for future generations.

Keywords: farm to fork, agriculture, antimicrobial, threats, resistance.

INTRODUCTION

The “Farm to Fork” concept, central to modern food policy, frames the research of food as an integrated system from primary production to consumption. It is within this interconnected continuum that the complex challenge of antimicrobial resistance (AMR) unfolds, posing a threat to global health security and sustainable agriculture. Antimicrobials are indispensable tools in modern farming, used to treat and prevent disease in animals and, to a lesser extent, in crops. However, their widespread and often indiscriminate use exerts a powerful selective pressure, driving the evolution and proliferation of resistant bacteria (HOLMES ET AL., 2016). These resistant pathogens and their genetic determinants do not remain confined to the farm; they travel along the food chain, presenting a direct and insidious risk to consumers (BALAN ET AL., 2022). The consumption of contaminated food products, be it undercooked meat, unpasteurized milk, or fresh produce irrigated with contaminated water, is a major route for human exposure to resistant bacteria like *Salmonella*, *Campylobacter*, and *E. coli* (IRRGANG ET AL., 2016). Consequently, agriculture is not merely a contributor to the AMR crisis but a critical control point for its mitigation.

Historically, interventions have been fragmented, focusing on isolated segments of the chain. Regulatory bans on antibiotic growth promoters in animal feed, while crucial, address only one driver. Veterinary stewardship programs are vital but do not prevent environmental spread from manure. Food safety inspections at processing plants are essential yet cannot eliminate contamination that originates upstream. A resistant bacterium selected on a farm due to metaphylactic treatment can enter the environment via manure, contaminate irrigation water, colonize lettuce, survive processing, and ultimately reach a consumer's plate. At any point, resistance genes can be transferred to other bacteria, including human commensals, via horizontal gene transfer. Therefore, a breach at any single link, farm, transport, processing, retail, or fork, can compromise the entire system's integrity.

This research posits that effectively managing the AMR threat requires a paradigm shift from isolated, point-based interventions to a comprehensive, integrated "Farm to Fork" management system. This approach recognizes the food chain as a dynamic network of risk and prioritizes coordinated action across all stages to reduce the overall burden of resistant pathogens and genes. It moves beyond simply restricting use to holistically promoting animal and plant health, containing environmental dissemination, and preventing foodborne transmission (PASCALAU ET AL., 2025, 2020). The core philosophy is that safety and sustainability are system properties, built in from the outset rather than inspected in at the end.

The threat is multidimensional. In livestock and aquaculture, the over-reliance on antimicrobials for disease prevention in high-density, high-stress production systems is a primary driver. In crop production, while antimicrobial use is lower, the use of manure-based fertilizers and contaminated irrigation water introduces resistance genes into the soil and onto produce, creating a neglected environmental reservoir (GIBBONS ET AL., 2016). During processing and distribution, cross-contamination equipment and inadequate temperature control can amplify low-level contamination. Finally, at the consumer level, improper food handling and inadequate cooking provide the final opportunity for exposure. Each stage presents unique challenges and requires tailored, yet coordinated, solutions. Even materials from other languages have been translated using a translation workflow appropriate and correct (PASCALAU, 2023).

Thus, the central point of this research is that only a "Farm to Fork" strategy, one that synchronizes prevention, stewardship, and containment across the entire food system, can meaningfully mitigate the AMR threat originating from agriculture. This research aims to: (1) systematically map the critical control points for AMR emergence and transmission along the agricultural food chain, from primary production to consumption; (2) evaluate a suite of evidence-based interventions tailored to each stage, emphasizing their synergistic potential when implemented in concert; (3) analyse the socio-economic, regulatory, and behavioural barriers that hinder the adoption of such an integrated approach; and (4) propose a coherent governance and implementation framework that aligns incentives, enhances surveillance, and fosters collaboration among all stakeholders in the food system. By providing this end-to-end perspective, we seek to inform policy and practice, advocating for a cohesive defence against AMR that protects both public health and the future of food production.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

This research employs a comprehensive systems-based analytical framework to investigate the management of antimicrobial resistance (AMR) across the entire "Farm to Fork" continuum. The methodology integrates a rigorous, multi-faceted approach to construct a holistic and actionable view of the risks, interventions, and dynamics at play within the modern food system. The analysis is built upon three core methodological pillars: a systematic evidence

synthesis of scientific literature and case studies, a detailed process mapping of the food supply chain, and an in-depth stakeholder analysis to understand the perspectives and incentives of key actors. This tripartite approach allows for a robust triangulation of data, ensuring that findings are not only academically sound but also pragmatically relevant to the complex realities of global agriculture and food production.

Specifically, the “Farm to Fork” continuum was operationally delineated into five distinct yet critically interconnected stages for granular analysis (TRINCHERA ET AL., 2025). The first stage, Primary Production, encompasses livestock and poultry farms, aquaculture operations, and crop fields, where the initial selection pressure for AMR primarily occurs. The second stage, Transport & Lairage, involves the movement of live animals to processing facilities and the critical holding periods that can influence stress and microbial shedding. The third stage, processing & slaughter, covers the transformation of animals into meat products and the harvesting/washing of produce, representing a major point for potential cross-contamination (ABD-ELGHANY ET AL., 2022). The fourth stage, Retail & Distribution, includes the storage, packaging, and transportation of food products to points of sale, where temperature control and handling are vital (JANS ET AL., 2018). The fifth and final stage, Consumer/Kitchen, addresses the final purchase, domestic storage, preparation, and consumption, which serves as the last line of defence against exposure.

By systematically applying this staged framework, the research identifies critical control points and leverage points where interventions can be most effectively targeted. The integrated methodology facilitates the identification of synergistic strategies, where an action in one stage, such as improved animal welfare on-farm, creates compounding benefits downstream by reducing contamination pressure at slaughter. This multi-method, systems-oriented approach ensures the analysis is deeply grounded in scientific evidence, acutely sensitive to the practical and economic realities faced across the supply chain, and ultimately capable of proposing coherent, multi-stakeholder strategies for catalysing the systemic change necessary to mitigate the AMR threat.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

The research reveals AMR as a pervasive, systems-level contaminant with critical control points at every stage. Process Mapping identified clear risk cascades: resistance selected on-farm is disseminated via manure to the environment; animals carrying resistant bacteria transport them to slaughterhouses, where cross-contamination of carcasses is a major amplifier; residual contamination can persist through processing to retail. For produce, irrigation water and soil amended with untreated manure were key contamination sources (SMULEAC ET AL., 2020). Intervention Analysis highlighted effective but underutilized strategies: on-farm vaccination programs reduced therapeutic antibiotic use by 30-50% in swine and poultry studies; biosecurity enhancements were correlated with lower herd disease incidence; manure composting at $>60^{\circ}\text{C}$ significantly reduced detectable resistance genes. At processing, steam pasteurization cabinets and electrolyzed water washes showed efficacy in reducing bacterial loads on carcasses. Barrier Analysis confirmed profound challenges: a cost-price squeeze on farmers disincentivizes capital-intensive welfare upgrades; split regulatory mandates (agriculture vs. food safety) hinder coordinated action; and consumer awareness of AMR’s link to food safety remains low, limiting demand-side pressure for change.

The “Farm to Fork” lens forces a confrontation with the systemic nature of the AMR threat. The discussion must move beyond cataloguing interventions to examining how they can be coherently orchestrated and be part of One health concept in the same time (BUCUR ET AL., 2025).

First, we discuss the primacy of on-farm prevention as the foundation. The most effective and economically rational long-term strategy is to prevent the problem at its source. Investing in animal health and welfare, through improved genetics for resilience, enhanced nutrition, reduced stocking densities, and enriched environments, is not an animal rights issue alone; it is the cornerstone of a pre-emptive public health strategy. A healthy animal requires fewer antibiotics, shedding fewer resistant bacteria. This reduces the “incoming load” for every downstream stage. The discussion must argue for policy mechanisms, such as outcome-based subsidies or insurance premium discounts, that financially reward producers for achieving measurable health and stewardship outcomes, not just production volume.

Second, we analyse the amplification points in mid-chain and the need for technological and process interventions. Even with excellent on-farm management, some risk persists. Therefore, the middle stages, transport, lairage, and processing, require targeted barriers. The discussion explores the critical role of hygienic design and process control in slaughterhouses to prevent gut spillage and cross-contamination, which can turn a low-prevalence issue into a widespread one. We also examine the potential and limitations of decontamination technologies (e.g., organic acid sprays, bacteriophage applications) as final hurdles for pathogens. However, these must not be used as a crutch to compensate for poor upstream practices, a concept known as the “hygienic ceiling”.

Third, we engage with the critical role of the environment and the “circle of resistance”. The food chain is not linear; it is a circle with the environment as a central hub. Manure and wastewater are not merely waste products but key vectors closing the loop. The discussion emphasizes that without effective manure and wastewater treatment, resistant bacteria and genes are recycled back onto land and into water sources, re-contaminating crops and potentially even livestock. This makes environmental management a non-negotiable component of the “Farm to Fork” strategy, requiring investment in infrastructure and enforcement of application guidelines, even for students from specific study programmes (PASCALAU ET AL., 2025, 2020).

Fourth, we confront the final barrier: the consumer’s kitchen and the information gap. The fork is the last line of defence. The discussion highlights that consumer knowledge about the link between farming practices, AMR, and food safety is generally poor. Public health messaging must extend beyond generic “cook meat thoroughly” advice to educate on how consumer choices can drive systemic change. This includes understanding labels (e.g., “raised without antibiotics”), proper handling of fresh produce to avoid cross-contamination, and support for retailers and brands that enforce strong sourcing standards. Empowering the consumer is a powerful, underleveraged force for creating market-based incentives for responsible production.

Finally, the discussion integrates these threads into the necessity for connective governance and data sharing. A “Farm to Fork” strategy collapses without integration. We discuss models for integrated surveillance that trace specific resistant strains from farm isolates to retail meat or human clinical cases, enabling targeted recalls and root-cause investigations (BAGER ET AL., 1997). We also examine the need for multi-stakeholder platforms where farmers, processors, retailers, and regulators can collaboratively set standards, share data (e.g., on antibiotic use, pathogen loads), and align incentives. The goal is to transform the food chain from a series of adversarial transactions into a collaborative network with shared responsibility for public health outcomes.

Thus, managing AMR from “Farm to Fork” is a complex operational and governance challenge. It requires viewing food safety not as a series of checkpoints but as a continuous, shared value built into the system’s design (TILMAND ET AL., 2011). The discussion posits that the most significant ROI lies in investing at the farm level to reduce need, supported by smart interventions at key amplification points, all held together by transparent data and aligned economic signals.

CONCLUSIONS

The “Farm to Fork” analysis of antimicrobial resistance presents an unambiguous conclusion: the threat cannot be contained by actions at any single point in the food system. Isolated efforts, whether bans on growth promoters, veterinary prescription guidelines, or end-product testing, are necessary but insufficient. The interconnected nature of modern food production means that risks originating on the farm inevitably travel downstream, while economic and informational signals from consumers can and must travel upstream to drive change. Therefore, the only effective strategy is a comprehensive, integrated management approach that addresses AMR as a systemic contaminant across the entire continuum.

The foremost conclusion is the overriding economic and public health imperative for prevention at the farm gate. The most cost-effective way to manage AMR in the food chain is to prevent its emergence in the first place. This requires a fundamental re-investment in animal and plant health as the primary objective of agricultural production. Policies and market structures must shift to reward farmers for outcomes such as low antibiotic use, high welfare scores, and robust biosecurity, rather than solely for volume and cost minimization. This paradigm shift, from treating disease to building resilience, is the single most powerful lever for sustainable AMR mitigation.

A second, critical conclusion underscores the essential role of process engineering and environmental management in the middle of the chain. The stages of transport, processing, and waste handling are not passive conduits but active amplifiers or reducers of risk. Investment in hygienic infrastructure at slaughterhouses, effective manure treatment technologies (like thermophilic composting or anaerobic digestion), and water quality management for irrigation are not optional extras; they are core components of a modern, responsible food safety system. These interventions physically break the pathways of transmission, preventing the environmental cycling and cross-contamination that spread resistance.

Third, we conclude that transparency and traceability are the foundational enablers of a “Farm to Fork” strategy. In a fragmented system, accountability is diluted. Implementing digital traceability systems that can track an animal or batch of produce from origin to retail, coupled with integrated surveillance data on AMR, creates a powerful feedback loop. It allows for the identification of high-risk sources, enables targeted interventions, and provides the evidence base for certification schemes that consumers can trust. This data-driven approach transforms the chain from a “black box” into a transparent network where performance can be measured and rewarded.

Furthermore, this analysis concludes that aligning economic incentives across all stakeholders is the greatest governance challenge. Currently, costs and benefits are misaligned. Farmers bear the cost of reducing antibiotics but may not capture the premium; processors bear the cost of contamination recalls; and society bears the colossal public health cost of AMR. Correcting this requires a policy mix: 1) Subsidies and transition support for farmers adopting higher-welfare systems; 2) Shared value creation through brands that market and reward responsible production; and 3) True cost accounting that reflects the externalized health and environmental costs of irresponsible practices, potentially through levies or adjusted trade standards.

Finally, we conclude that consumer empowerment and education are the ultimate drivers of market transformation. An informed public, concerned about both personal health and the broader AMR crisis, can catalyse change through purchasing decisions.

As a conclusion, managing AMR from “Farm to Fork” is a grand operational challenge that demands a collective response. It requires moving from a compartmentalized model of

responsibility to a shared stewardship of our antimicrobial resources and food safety. This entails collaboration unprecedented in scale and depth: veterinarians working with farmers on health plans, processors collaborating with farmers on sourcing standards, regulators harmonizing policies across sectors, and scientists sharing data across disciplines. The vision is a resilient, transparent, and sustainable food system where the use of antimicrobials is minimized, their efficacy is preserved, and the safety of food is assured from the very beginning of its journey.

By embracing this integrated “Farm to Fork” framework, we can protect not only the health of consumers today but also the viability of agriculture and medicine for generations to come. The time for systemic action is now.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- ABD-ELGHANY S.M., FATHY T.M., ZAKARIA A.I., IMRE K., MORAR A., HERMAN V., PAȘCALĂU R., ȘMULEAC L., MORAR D., IMRE M., SALLAM K.I., 2022, “Prevalence of Multidrug-Resistant *Salmonella enterica* Serovars in Buffalo Meat in Egypt”, *Foods* 11 (18), 2924.
- BALAN M., GHERMAN E.D., GHERMAN R., BRAD I., PAȘCALĂU R., POPESCU G., TRASCA T.I., 2022, “Sustainable nutrition for increased food security related to Romanian consumers’ behavior”. *Nutrients*, 14 (22), 4892.
- BAGER F, MADSEN M, CHRISTENSEN J, AARESTRUP F.M., 1997, “Avoparcin used as a growth promoter is associated with the occurrence of vancomycin-resistant *Enterococcus faecium* on Danish poultry and pig farms”, *Prev Vet Med.* 1997;31(1):95–112. doi: 10.1016/s0167-5877(96)01119-1.
- BUCUR, I.-M.; RUS, A.; IMRE, K.; TIRZIU, A.; IANCU, I.; IVAN, A.A.; MOZA, A.C.; POPA, S.A.; HOTEA, I.; TIRZIU, E., 2025, “A One Health Comparative Study of MDR *Escherichia coli* Isolated from Clinical Patients and Farm Animals in Satu Mare, Romania”, *Antibiotics* 2025, 14, 1157. <https://doi.org/10.3390/antibiotics14111157>
- BÜRGMANN H, FRIGON D, GAZE W.H, MANAIA C.M, PRUDEN A, SINGER A.C, SMETS B. F, ZHANG T., 2018, “Water and sanitation: an essential battlefield in the war on antimicrobial resistance”, *FEMS Microbiol Ecol.*, 94(9):1–14. doi: 10.1093/femsec/fiy101.
- COLLIGNON P, BEGGS J.J, WALSH T.R, GANDRA S, LAXMINARAYAN R., 2018, “Anthropological and socioeconomic factors contributing to global antimicrobial resistance: a univariate and multivariable analysis”, *Lancet Planet Heal.*; 2(9):398–405. doi: 10.1016/S2542-5196(18)30186-4.
- DE BALOGH, K., HALLIDAY, J., & LUBROTH, J., 2013, “Integrating the surveillance of animal health, foodborne pathogens and foodborne diseases in developing and in-transition countries”, *Revue scientifique et technique (International Office of Epizootics)*, 32(2), 539-548.
- FOUNOU, L. L., FOUNOU, R. C., & ESSACK, S. Y., 2016, “Antibiotic resistance in the food chain: a developing country-perspective”, *Frontiers in microbiology*, 7, 1881.
- GIBBONS J.F, BOLAND F, EGAN J, FANNING S, MARKEY B.K, LEONARD F.C., 2016, “Antimicrobial resistance of faecal *Escherichia coli* isolates from pig farms with different durations of in-feed antimicrobial use”, *Zoonoses Public Health*, 63(3):241–250. doi: 10.1111/zph.12225.
- JANS C, SARNO E, COLLINEAU L, MEILE L, STÄRK K.D.C, STEPHAN R., 2018, “Consumer exposure to antimicrobial-resistant bacteria from food at Swiss retail level”, *Front Microbiol.* 6(9):362. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2018.00362.
- JAFFEES , HENSONS , UNNEVEHRL , GRACED , CASSOU E, 2019, “The Safe Food Imperative: Accelerating Progress in Low- and Middle-Income Countries”, Series, AaFWorld Bank, Washington, DC, USA (2019).
- HOLMES, A. H., MOORE, L. S., SUNDSFJORD, A., STEINBAKK, M., REGMI, S., KARKEY, A., & PIDDOCK, L. J., 2016, “Understanding the mechanisms and drivers of antimicrobial resistance”, *The Lancet*, 387(10014), 176-187.
- IRRGANG A, ROSCHANSKI N, TENHAGEN B.A, GROBBEL M, SKLADNIKIEWICZ-ZIEMER T, THOMAS K, ROESLER U, KÄSBOHRER A., 2016, “Prevalence of *mcr-1* in *E. coli* from livestock and food in Germany, 2010–2015”, *PLoS ONE.*, 11(7):1–10. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0159863.

- KARAASLAN A, ÇAĞAN E, KEPENEKLI K.E, ATICI S, AKKOÇ G, YAKUT N, DEMIR S.Ö, SOYSAL A, BAKIR M., 2016, "Intravenous colistin use for multidrug-resistant Gram-negative infections in pediatric patients", *Balkan Med J.*, 33(6):627–632. doi: 10.5152/balkanmedj.2016.16210.
- LAXMINARAYAN R, DUSE A, WATTAL C, ZAIDI A.K.M, WERTHEIM H.F.L, SUMPRADIT N, Vlieghe E, HARA G.L, GOULD I.M, GOOSSENS H, GREKO C, So A.D, BIGDELI M, TOMSON G, WOODHOUSE W, OMBAKA E, PERALTA A.Q, QAMAR F.N, MIR F, KARIUKI S, BHUTTA Z.A, COATES A, BERGSTROM R, WRIGHT G.D, BROWN E.D, CARS O., 2013, "Antibiotic resistance- The need for global solutions", *Lancet Infect Dis.*;13(12):1057–1098. doi: 10.1016/S1473-3099(13)70318-9.
- LEE S, TENG L, DiLORENZO N, WEPPELMANN T.A, JEONG K.C, 2020, "Prevalence and molecular characteristics of extended-spectrum and AmpC b-Lactamase producing *Escherichia coli* in grazing beef cattle", *Front Microbiol.*, 10:3076. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2019.03076.
- LIU Y.Y, WANG Y, WALSH T.R, YI L.X, ZHANG R, SPENCER J, DOI Y, TIAN G, DONG B, HUANG X, YU L.F, GU D, REN H, CHEN X, LV L, HE D, ZHOU K, LIANG Z, LIU J.H, SHEN J., 2016, "Emergence of plasmid-mediated colistin resistance mechanism MCR-1 in animals and human beings in China: A microbiological and molecular biological study", *Lancet Infect Dis.*, 6(2):161–168. doi:10.1016/S1473-3099(15)00424-7.
- MAGOURAS I, CARMO L.P, STÄRK K.D, SCHÜPBACH-REGULA G., 2017, "Antimicrobial usage and resistance in livestock: where should we focus?", *Front Vet Sci.*, 15(4):148. doi: 10.3389/fvets.2017.00148.
- MEHDI Y, LETOURNEAU-MONTMINY M.P, GAUCHER M.L, CHORFI Y, SURESH G, ROUSSI T, BRAR S.K, COTE C, RAMIREZ A.A, GODBOUT S., 2018, "Use of antibiotics in broiler production: global impacts and alternatives", *Anim Nutr.* 2018;4(2):170–178. doi: 10.1016/j.aninu.2018.03.002.
- O'NEILL J., 2016, "Tackling drug-resistant infections globally: Final report and recommendations the review on antimicrobial resistance", 10–84.
- PANDEY, S., DOO, H., KEUM, G., KIM, E., KWAK, J., RYU, S., CHOI, Y., KANG, J., KIM, S., LEE, N., OH, K., LEE, J., & KIM, H., 2023, "Antibiotic resistance in livestock, environment and humans: One Health perspective", *Journal of Animal Science and Technology*, 66, 266 - 278. <https://doi.org/10.5187/jast.2023.e129>.
- PAȘCALĂU R., STANCIU S., ȘMULEAC L., ȘMULEAC A., SĂLĂȘAN C., URliciĂ A.A., BAKLI M., 2021, "Teaching Climate Change In Class, A Must And A Challenge", *Research Journal of Agricultural Science*, 53 (2) *Research Journal of Agricultural Science*, 54 (4), 2022; ISSN: 2668-926X 42
- PASCALAU R., SMULEAC L., POPESCU C.A., IMBREA F., SMULEAC A., 2025, "The role of multilingual education in environmental and earth sciences curricula", *International Multidisciplinary Scientific GeoConference: SGEM 5 (1)*, 855-86.
- PASCALAU R., POPESCU C.A., SMULEAC L., HORABLAGA A., IMBREA F., 2025, "Teaching for tomorrow: the importance of earth and environmental sciences in 21st century education in higher education institutions", *International Multidisciplinary Scientific GeoConference: SGEM 5 (1)*, 841-848.
- PAȘCALĂU R., STANCIU S., ȘMULEAC L., ȘMULEAC A., AHMADI KHOIE M., FEHER A., SĂLĂȘAN C., Danci, M., BAKLI M., AMARA M., 2020, "Academic vocabulary in teaching English for agriculture", *Research Journal of Agricultural Science*, ISSN: 2668-926X, Vol. 52(2).
- PAȘCALĂU R., 2023, "Impact of translations workflow in environmental sciences", *Research Journal of Agricultural Science*, ISSN: 2668-926X, Vol. 55 (2).
- PRABHAKAR, S. V. R. K., SANO, D., & SRIVASTAVA, N., 2010, "Sustainable Consumption and Production in the Asia-Pacific Region: Effective Responses in a Resource Constrained World".
- SHARMA C, ROKANA N, CHANDRA M, SINGH B.P, GULHANE R.D, GILL J.P.S, RAY P, PUNIYA A.K, PANWAR H., 2018, "Antimicrobial resistance: Its surveillance, impact, and alternative management strategies in dairy animals", *Front Vet Sci.*, 4(237):1–27. doi: 10.3389/fvets.2017.00237.
- SHRESTHA K, ACHARYA K.P, SHRESTHA S., 2018, "One Health: The interface between veterinary and human health", *Int J One Health*. 2018;4(1):8–14.
- SUNDIN G.W, WANG N., 2018, "Antibiotic resistance in plant-pathogenic bacteria", *Annu Rev Phytopathol.* 56(1):161–180. doi: 10.1146/annurev-phyto-080417-045946
- ȘMULEAC L., RUJESCU C., ȘMULEAC A., F IMBREA, RADULOV I., MANEA D., IENCIU A., ADAMOV T., PASCALAU R., 2020, "Impact of climate change in the Banat Plain, Western Romania, on the

- accessibility of water for crop production”, Research Journal of Agricultural Science, ISSN: 2668-926X, Volume 10, Issue 10.
- TILMAND , BALZER C , HILL J , BEFORT B L, 2011, “ Global food demand and the sustainable intensification of agriculture”, Proc. Natl Acad. Sci.108(50), 20260–20264 (2011).
- WATTS J.E, SCHREIER H.J, LANSKA L, HALE M.S., 2017,” The rising tide of antimicrobial resistance in aquaculture: Sources, sinks and solutions”, Mar Drugs., 15(6):158. doi: 10.3390/md15060158.
- WORLD HEALTH ORGANIZATION, 2014, “Antimicrobial Resistance:Global Report on Surveillance”, <https://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/112642>.
- TRINCHERA, M., DE GAETANO, S., SOLE, E., MIDIRI, A., SILVESTRO, S., MANCUSO, G., CATALANO, T., & BIONDO, C., 2025, “Antimicrobials in Livestock Farming and Resistance: Public Health Implications”, Antibiotics, 14. <https://doi.org/10.3390/antibiotics14060606>