

## CONTRIBUTIONS TO THE STUDY OF THE IMPACT OF GRASSLAND PHYTO-COENOSES IN THE UPPER AND MIDDLE TIMIŞ RIVER BASIN ON FORAGE AND LIVESTOCK PRODUCTION (BANAT, ROMANIA)

T. MARUŞCA<sup>1</sup>, Alma L. NICOLIN<sup>2\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Research and Development Institute for Meadows, Braşov, Romania

<sup>2</sup>Banat's University of Agricultural Sciences and Veterinary Medicine "King Michael I of Romania" from Timișoara, Romania

\*Corresponding author: alma.nicolin@gmail.com

**Abstract .** The assessment of forage production on permanent grassland phyto-coenoses based on floristic sampling outlines an economic indicator necessary to establish optimal animal loading. The present paper is a case study for the upper and middle basin of the Timiș River in Banat (Romania), which presents 347 surveys of 27 associations belonging to 15 phyto-sociological alliances from the Semic, Țarcu-Godeanu and Poiana Ruscă Mountains to the Lugoj Plain, on an altitudinal range of 100-2,190 m. In order to assess production, the grasslands were divided into three main physical and geographical categories, namely: sub-alpine and mountain meadows with an average yield of 3.2 t/ha useful phyto-mass (forage), hill and plain meadows with a production of 6.6 t/ha and flooding meadows, terraces and depressions with a yield of 11.4 t/ha fresh fodder. The most valuable grasslands used by grazing with animals (*Al. Cynosurion* and *Agrostion stoloniferae*) can produce 11.7 to 13.8 t/ha fresh fodder which can provide 146-230 kg/ha gain weight in calves and lambs in the optimal grazing season. The meadows used as hayfields (*Arrhenetheretum elatioris*, *Ahopecuretum pratensis*, *Festucetum pratensis*) provide very good yields of 16.8-19.0 t/ha fresh fodder. Several phyto-coenoses located in the sub-alpine level, those invaded by *Nardus stricta* and *Vaccinium* sp. in the mountain area or those located on land with permanent moisture excess achieve only 0.3-1.3 t/ha fresh fodder, being considered economically degraded. As a conclusion, the live gain weight of young grazing animals during the grazing season ranges from 20 to almost 200 kg/ha depending by the yield of fresh fodder/ha. This could be a good start for the practice of organic farming in the conditions of proper management. Knowledge of the forage yield of the grassland is extremely useful for drawing up pastoral facilities, preserving biodiversity, and protecting of the environment and pastoral landscapes.

**Keywords:** grassland phyto-coenoses, fresh fodder yield, animal gain weight

### INTRODUCTION

In the study of grassland vegetation, in addition to the determination and classification of phyto-coenoses, an important role has the determining of the productivity expressed by forage quality and fresh fodder yield (BĂRBULESCU & MOTCĂ, 1983, 1987).

The productivity of different types of meadows was studied in Romania from different perspectives (e.g. ARSENE, 1998; COSTE & ARSENE, 2000; DURĂU, CARMEN *et al.*, 2012; HORABLAGA *et al.*, 2012; JURAVLE *et al.*, 2010; NICULESCU, MARIANA, 2004; NICULESCU, MARIANA *et al.*, 2012; VÎNTU *et al.*, 2010; VOȘGAN *et al.*, 2019, STROIA, 2017; STROIA *et al.*, 2011).

Forage quality is most often determined in laboratory from forage samples taken from the certain phyto-coenoses or using pastoral value, a method based on floristic sampling (MOTCĂ *et al.*, 1994).

For the determination of grassland production, the ideal method is to fence plots in the field for each vegetal association; the forage from every plot is harvested and weighed. This method is very difficult, even impossible in the current conditions, being the reason why the forage yields are evaluated using the method based on floristic sampling (MARUȘCA, 2019, MARUȘCA *et al.*, 2020).

In this respect, was carried out the summary work on grassland vegetation on an altitudinal interval of about 2,000 m from the Upper and Middle Basin of Timiș River in Banat. By modelling the fresh fodder yield, it was set to convert it into animal production expressed by animal gain weight during the grazing season for calves and lambs.

#### MATERIAL AND METHODS

In order to determine the grassland yield based on floristic sampling, data from the doctoral thesis entitled “Ecological and phyto-coenological study of grassland vegetation in the upper and middle basin of the Timiș River” (NICOLIN, 2015) was taken into account.

The following coeno-taxonomic units were encountered and studied:

**Cls. JUNCETEA TRIFIDI** Klika et Hadac 1044

Ord. *Caricetalia curvulae* Br-Bl. 1926

Al. *Caricion curvulae* Br-Bl. 1925

As. *Primulo - Caricetum curvulae* Br-Bl. 1926 em. Oberd. 1957

As. *Potentillo chrysocraspedae - Festucetum airoidis* Boșcaiu 1971

As. *Oreochloo - Jucetum trifidi* Szafer *et al.*, 1927

Al. *Loiseleurio - Vaccinion* Br-Bl. 1926

As. *Empetro - Vaccinietum gaultherioidis* Br-Bl. 1926

**Cls. VACCINIO - JUNIPERETEA** Pass. et. Hofm. 1968

Ord. *Junipero - Pinetalia mugii* Boșcaiu 1971

Al. *Pinion mugii* Pawl. 1928

As. *Campanulo abietinae - Vaccinietum* (Buia *et al.*, 1962) Boșcaiu 1971

**Cls. OXYCOCCO - SPHAGNETEA** Br-Bl. et Tx. 1943

Ord. *Sphagnetalia magellanici* (Pawl. 1928) Moore (1964) 1968

Al. *Sphagnion magellanici* Kästner et Flössner 1933

As. *Eriophoro vaginati - Sphagnetum recurvi* Hueck 1025

**Cls. NARDO - CALLUNETEA** Prsg. 1949

Ord. *Nardetalia* Oberd 1949

Al. *Potentillo - Nardion* Simon 1957

As. *Scorzonero roseae - Festucetum nigricantis* (Pușcaru *et al.*, 1956) Coldea 1987

As. *Violo declinatae - Nardetum* Simon 1966

**Cls. BETULO - ADENOSTYLETEA** Br-Bl. et Tx. 1943

Ord. *Adenostyletalia* Br-Bl. 1931

Al. *Rumicion alpini* Rubel 1933

As. *Senecioni - Rumicetum alpini* Horv. 1949 em Coldea (1986) 1990

**Cls. MOLINIO - ARRENATHERETEA** Tx. 1937

Ord. *Arrhenatheretalia* Pawl. 1928

Al. *Cynosurion* Tx. 1947

As. *Festuco rubrae - Agrostietum capillaris* Horv. 1951

Al. *Arrhenatherion elatioris* Koch 1926

As. *Festucetum pratensis* Soó 1938

As. *Arrhenatheretum elatioris* Br-Bl. ex Scherrer 1925

- Ord. *Molinietalia coeruleae* W. Koch 1926  
Al. *Molinion coeruleae* W. Koch 1926  
As. *Peucedano rocheliani - molinietum coeruleae* Boşcaiu 1965  
Al. *Calthion palustris* Tx. 1937  
As. *Holcetum lanati* Issler 19336 em. Pass. 1064  
As. *Scirpetum silvatici* Maloch 1935 em. Schwich 1944  
As. *Epilobio - Juncetum effusi* Oberd 1957  
Al. *Agrostion stoloniferae* Soó (1933) 1971  
As. *Poëtum pratensis* Räv., Căzác. et Turenschi 1956  
As. *Agrostidetum stoloniferae* (Ujvárosi 1941) Burduja *et al.*, 1956  
As. *Medicagini lupulinae - Agropyretum repentis* Popescu *et al.*, 1980  
Ord. *Deschampsietalia caespitosae* Horvatič 1956  
Al. *Alopecurion pratensis* Pass. 1964  
As. *Alopecuretum pratensis* Regel 1925  
**Cls. TRIFOLIO GERANIETEA SANGUINEI** Th. Müller 1961  
Ord. *Origanetalia vulgaris* Th. Müller 1961  
Al. *Trifolion medii* Th. Müller 1961  
As. *Clinopodio - Pteridietum* Dihoru 1975  
**Cls. FESTUCO - BROMETEA** Br - Bl. et Tx. 1943  
Ord. *Festucetalia valesiacae* Br - Bl. et Tx. 1943  
Al. *Festucion rupicolae* Soó (1929 n.n.) 1940 corr. Soó 1964  
As. *Festucetum rupicolae* Burduja *et al.*, 1956; corr. Burduja *et al.*, 1972-1973  
As. *Festucetum valesiacae - rupicolae* Csürös et Kovacs 1962  
Ord. *Brachypodio - Chrysopogonetalia* (Horvatič 1958) Boşcaiu 1972  
Al. *Danthonio - Brachypodion* Boşcaiu 1972  
As. *Festuco rupicolae - Danthonietum alpinae* Csürös *et al.*, 1961  
As. *Danthonio - Chrysopogonetum grylli* Boşcaiu (1970) 1972  
**Cls. PLANTAGINETEA MAJORIS** Tx. Et Prsg 1950  
Ord. *Plantaginietalia majoris* Tx. (1947) 1950  
Al. *Agropyro - Rumicion crispum* Nordh. 1940  
As. *Trifolio repenti - Lolietum* Krippelová 1967  
As. *Junco - Menthetum* Lohm 1953

This study presents 347 floristic surveys belonging to 27 permanent grassland associations located from 100 to 2,190 m altitude from the Lugoj Plain to Țarcu Peak in the eponymous massif.

For the synthesis of this large amount of data, the meadows were divided from top to bottom into three main physical and geographical categories: sub-alpine and mountain meadows, hill and plain meadows and flooding meadows, terraces and depressions.

In general, sub-alpine meadows are used almost exclusively by grazing with sheep, mountain meadows are mainly used by grazing with cattle and sheep, sometimes by mowing, and flooding meadows are mostly exploited by mowing for hay.

The working method for determining production based on floristic sampling is based on the multiplication of abundance - dominance (%) of the forager species from the vegetal cover with a fresh fodder index from 1 – very low to 9 – very high, result that is then divided by 100. Multiplying this phyto-mass index by a coefficient between 1.8 and 3.5 directly assesses the production of fresh fodder yield in t/ha (MARUȘCA, 2019).

After determining the fresh fodder yield of the grassland used by grazing according to the average altitude where the analysed plots are placed, the optimal grazing time and the feeding requirements for the production of 1 kg of live weight gain in lambs or calves, livestock production was evaluated (Table 1).

*Table 1*

Duration of the optimal grazing season and the fresh fodder amount for live weight gain in calves and lambs (after MARUȘCA, 2001 updated)

Altitude	Duration of grazing season	Necessary fresh fodder amount for 1 kg of live weight gain
<b>Sub-alpine and mountain grasslands</b>		
2000-2200	55	140
1800-2000	70	130
1600-1800	85	120
1400-1600	100	110
1200-1400	115	100
1000-1200	130	90
800-1000	145	80
600-800	160	70
<b>Hill, plain, flooding meadow and depression grasslands</b>		
400-600	175	60
200-400	175	60
0-600	175	60
<b>Gradients for 100 m altitude</b>		
600-2200	- 7.5	+ 5
0-600	0	0

The conversion to livestock production hasn't been calculated for the degraded grasslands invaded by *Nardus stricta*, *Pteridium aquilinum*, *Vaccinium* sp. and other shrub species, those with permanent excess moisture (peats) and other extreme conditions which determinate very low fresh fodder yields.

### RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

The meadows from the upper basin of the Timiș River located in the mountain sub-alpine level of the Țarcu-Godeanu and Poiana Ruscă Mountains have a well-defined yield according to the altitude and degradation stage of the vegetal cover (Table 2).

*Table 2*

Fresh fodder yield of sub-alpine and mountain grassland associations in the upper basin of Timiș River

Phyto-coenosis	Number of samples	Altitude limits (m)	Fresh fodder yield		Use
			t/ha	% compared to mean	
<b><i>Al. Caricion curvulae</i></b>					
<i>Primulo - Caricetum curvulae</i>	7	2.100-2.190	1.52	47	Pasture
<i>Potentillo chrysocraspedae</i> -	11	840-1.910	3.34	103	Pasture

<i>Festucetum airoidis</i>					
<i>Oreochloo - Jucetum trifidi</i>	7	920-2.150	2.98	92	Pasture
<b>Al. Loiseleurio - Vaccinion</b>					
<i>Empetro - Vaccinietum gaultherioidis</i>	5	1930-1960	0.26	8	Degraded pasture
<b>Al. Pinion mugi</b>					
<i>Campanulo abietinae - Vaccinietum</i>	16	1310-1800	0.49	15	Degraded pasture
<b>Al. Sphagnion magellanici</b>					
<i>Eriophoro vaginati - Sphagnetum recurvi</i>	6	1470-1620	0.27	8	Degraded pasture
<b>Al. Potentillo - Nardion</b>					
<i>Scorzonero roseae - Festucetum nigricantis</i>	15	1350-1870	8.28	256	Pasture
<i>Violo declinatae - Nardetum</i>	22	820-1800	1.29	40	Pasture
<b>Al. Rumicion alpini</b>					
<i>Senecioni - Rumicetum alpini</i>	5	1300-1750	2.20	68	Pasture
<b>Al. Cynosurion</b>					
<i>Festuco rubrae - Agrostietum capillaris</i>	65	500-1400	11.69	362	Pasture, hey field
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>159</b>	<b>500-2190</b>	<b>3.23</b>	<b>100</b>	

Thus, degraded grasslands invaded by woody or non-valuable herbaceous vegetation belonging to *Empetro - Vaccinietum*, *Campanulo - Vaccinietum* and *Violo (declinatae) - Nardetum* have the lowest yields of 0.3-1.3 t/ha fresh fodder. Similarly, the marshy meadows of the association *Eriophoro - Sphagnetum* have a very small production.

Sub-alpine grasslands with yields of 1.5 to 3.3 t/ha fresh fodder are influenced by lower temperatures associated with shorter vegetation season.

More valuable yields of 8.3-11.7 t/ha are registered in mountain grasslands, in As. *Scorzonero roseae - Festucetum nigricantis* and *Festuco rubrae - Agrostietum capillaris*.

In the hill and plain area of the middle basin of the Timiș River, the average production reaches 6.6 t/ha, i.e. more than twice compared with the sub-alpine-mountain level (3.2 t/ha) (Table 3).

Table 3

Fresh fodder yield of hill and plain grassland associations in the middle basin of Timiș River

Phyto-coenosis	Number of samples	Altitude limits (m)	Fresh fodder yield		Use
			t/ha	% compared to mean	
<b>Al. Trifolion medii</b>					
<i>Clinopodio - Pteridietum</i>	13	160-950	1.04	16	Pasture
<b>Al. Festucion rupicolae</b>					
<i>Festucetum rupicolae</i>	21	100-470	5.70	86	Pasture
<i>Festucetum valesiacae - rupicolae</i>	13	220-400	7.63	115	Pasture
<b>Al. Danthonio - Brachypodion</b>					
<i>Festuco rupicolae - Danthonietum alpinae</i>	10	270-370	10.82	164	Pasture
<i>Danthonio - Chrysopogonetum</i>	8	210-350	1.92	29	Pasture, hay field
<b>Al. Agropyro - Rumicion crispis</b>					
<i>Trifolio repenti - Lolietum</i>	11	170-350	17.37	263	Pasture
<i>Junco - Menthetum</i>	5	100-340	1.82	28	Pasture
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>81</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>6.61</b>	<b>100</b>	

The smallest yields were registered in the associations *Clinopodio - Pteridietum*, *Danthonio - Chrysopogonetum grylli* and *Junco - Menthetum* with values of 1.0-1.9 t/ha fresh fodder. Greater yields than 7.6-17.4 t/ha fresh fodder were in the associations *Festucetum valesiacae - rupicolae*, *Festuco rupicolae - Danthonieum alpinae* and *Trifolio repenti - Lolietum*. The azonal flooding meadows, terraces and depressions generally have the highest yields with an average of 11.4 t/ha fresh fodder (Table 4).

Table 4

Fresh fodder yield of the azonal associations of flooding meadows and depressions in the middle basin of the Timiș River

Phyto-coenosis	Number of samples	Altitude limits (m)	Fresh fodder yield		Use
			t/ha	% compared to mean	
<b>Al. Arrhenatherion elatioris</b>					
<i>Festucetum pratensis</i>	12	200-750	18.96	167	Mixed use (grazing – mowing)
<i>Arrhenatheretum elatioris</i>	5	110-400	16.77	148	Mixed use (grazing – mowing)
<b>Al. Molinion coeruleae</b>					
<i>Peucedano rocheliani - molinietum coeruleae</i>	25	200-380	1.12	10	Mixed use (grazing – mowing)
<b>Al. Calthion palustris</b>					
<i>Holcetum lanati</i>	13	170-700	12.22	108	Mixed use (grazing – mowing)
<i>Scirpetum silvatici</i>	16	100-920	1.48	13	Pasture
<i>Epilobio - Juncetum effusi</i>	16	110-1460	0.78	7	Degraded pasture
<b>Al. Agrostion stoloniferae</b>					
<i>Poëtum pratensis</i>	10	140-620	13.32	117	Pasture
<i>Agrostidetum stoloniferae</i>	5	240-250	14.70	129	Pasture
<i>Medicagini lupulinae - Agropyretum repentis</i>	5	110-120	17.36	153	Mixed use (grazing – mowing)
<b>Al. Alopecurion pratensis</b>					
<i>Alopecuretum pratensis</i>	7	120-130	16.92	149	Mixed use (grazing – mowing)
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>107</b>	<b>100-1460</b>	<b>11.36</b>	<b>100</b>	

Thus, the phyto-coenoses located in areas with temporary or permanent humidity excess have registered a yield of 0.8-1.5 t/ha fresh fodder.

The largest yields were recorded in the grasslands used as hayfield, the associations from *Holcetum lanati* (12.2 t/ha) to *Festucetum pratensis* (19.0 t/ha), they being the most valuable grasslands from the studied area.

Regarding the grasslands harvested by grazing with animals, the increase in live weight was estimated (Table 5).

Table 5

Forage and livestock productions of grassland phyto-coenoses used by grazing with calves and lambs

Alliance	Mean altitude (m)	Optimum grazing season duration (days)	Forage yield (t/ha)	Loading of fresh fodder forage/ha	Specific consumption (kg fresh fodder/kg gain)	Seasonal weight gain (kg/ha)	% compared to the mean
<b>Sub-alpine and mountain grasslands</b>							
<i>Caricion curvulae</i>	2040	53	2.73	0.79	138	20	29
<i>Potentillo - Nardion</i>	1490	100	4.12	0.63	110	37	54
<i>Cynosurion</i>	910	145	11.69	1.24	80	146	215
<b>Sub-alpine and mountain mean</b>	<b>x</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>6.18</b>	<b>0.95</b>	<b>91</b>	<b>68</b>	<b>100</b>
<b>Hill, plain, flooding meadow and depression grasslands</b>							
<i>Festucion rupicolae</i>	270	175	7.43	0.65	60	124	66
<i>Agropyro - Rumicion crispi</i>	200	175	12.51	1.10	60	209	111
<i>Agrostion stoloniferae</i>	215	175	13.79	1.21	60	230	122
<b>Hill plain mean</b>	<b>x</b>	<b>175</b>	<b>11.24</b>	<b>0.99</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>188</b>	<b>100</b>

In the alpine and mountain level of the upper basin of the Timiș River, the production of grass converted to live weight gain of grazing animals is 20-146 kg/ha in average, i.e. 68 kg/ha in about 100 days during the grazing season.

Lower, in hills and plains on zonal and azonal grasslands, with about 175 days of grazing, was produced an increase of almost 190 kg/ha per hectare with a load of about 1 unit of fresh fodder/ha.

The data obtained on quasi-natural grasslands, almost without fertilization except the manure from grazing animals, are particularly valuable for the initiation of organic farming practice.

Through proper management (e.g. application of fertilizers) grass production can be easily doubled or even tripled in comparison with the current level.

### CONCLUSIONS

The permanent grasslands of the upper and middle basin of the Timiș River extended over an altitudinal interval of over 2,000 m (100-2,190 m) have a large number of plant associations highly differentiated by physical and geographical conditions, respectively climatic and stational conditions.

The fresh fodder yield of the 27 associations studied varies from 0.3 t/ha to 19 t/ha, being influenced by climate in the high mountains, invasion of non-valuable woody and herbaceous vegetation, excess or lack of moisture, overgrazing or abandonment or other causes.

The live weight gain of young animals during the grazing season ranges from 20 to about 200 kg/ha, depending by the fresh fodder yield/ha, making it a good start for the practice of organic farming in the conditions of proper grassland management.

### BIBLIOGRAPHY

ARSENE, G.G., 1998 - Studiul ecologic și fitocenologic al vegetației ierboase de pășiți din Munții Poiana Ruscă (Ecological and phytocenological study of the grassy vegetation of meadows in the Poiana Ruscă Mountains), Ph.D. Thesis, University of Agricultural Sciences and

- Veterinary Medicine, Timișoara, Romania.
- BĂRBULESCU, C., MOTCĂ, G., 1983 - Pășunile munților înalți, Editura Ceres, București.
- BĂRBULESCU C., MOTCĂ G., 1987 - Pajiștile de deal din România, Ed. Ceres, București.
- COSTE, I., ARSENE, G.-G., 2000 – Les principaux prairies de Banat (Sud-ouest de la Roumanie) – Synthèse phytosociologique, in „Tendances actuelles dans la recherche sur les prairies permanentes” Colloque roumain-francais, 5-7 juin 2000, Timișoara-Brașov, 13.
- DURĂU, CARMEN, SĂRĂȚEANU, VERONICA, 2012 - Assessment of biodiversity, pastoral value and carrying capacity of several permanent grasslands from Western Romania, Journal of Biotechnology 161:21.
- HORABLAGA, N.M., HORABLAGA, ADINA, COJOCARIU, LUMINIȚA, MARIAN, F., LALESCU, D., BOSTAN, C., 2012 - The productive characters study of some *Alopecurus pratensis* L. biotypes in the West of Romania, Journal of Biotechnology, Volume 161:20.
- JURAVLE, V., COJOCARIU, LUMINIȚA, STROIA, C., LALESCU, D., 2010 - The Dynamics of the Dry Matter Production as a Result of the Mineral Fertilizers Application in a Hayfield from Bistricioara Basin, Analele Universității din Oradea, Fascicula Ecotoxicologie, Zootehnie și Tehnologii de Industrie Alimentară, Oradea.
- MARUȘCA, T., 2001 – Elemente de gradentică și ecologie montană, Editura Universității Transilvania, Brașov.
- MARUȘCA, T., 2019 - Contributions to the evaluation of pasture productivity using the floristic releve. Romanian Journal of grassland and forage crops, 19: 33- 47.
- MARUȘCA, T., ARSENE, G.-G., TAULESCU, ELENA, 2020 - Assessment of Permanent Grassland Productivity in Poiana Ruscă Mountains (South-West Romanian Carpathians), Annals of the Academy of Romanian Scientists, Series Agriculture, Silviculture and Veterinary Medicine Sciences, Volume 9, Number 1/2020.
- MOTCĂ, G., OANCEA, I., GEAMĂNU, LIDIA, 1994 - Pajiștile României, tipologie și tehnologie, Ed. Tehnică Agricolă, București.
- NICOLIN, ALMA L., 2015 - Flora și vegetația pajiștilor din bazinul superior și mijlociu al râului Timiș, Ed. Eurobit, Timișoara.
- NICULESCU, MARIANA, 2004 - Contributions Regarding the Study of the Nardo-Callunetea Prsg. 1949 Class in the Upper Basin of Luncavăț River (Vâlcea County), Contribuții Botanice, XXXIX, Grădina Botanică “Alexandru Borza” Cluj-Napoca.
- NICULESCU, MARIANA, GRECU, FLORINA, SILVESTRU NUȚĂ, I., IOVU, I., 2012 - Researches on the meadows from Cynosurion cristati Br.-Bl. et Tx. 1943 in the Bistrița-Văratec Valley (Gorj County, Romania), Analele Universității din Craiova, seria Agricultură – Montanologie – Cadastru Vol. XLII/2/2012
- STROIA, C., 2017 - Fonctionnement de l'écosystème prairial. Méthode de diagnostic, Edition universitaire européenne, ISBN : 978-3-330-86584-6.
- STROIA, C., MOREL, C., JOUANY CLAIRE, 2017 - Nitrogen Fertilization Effects on Grassland Soil Acidification: Consequences on Diffusive Phosphorus Ions, SSSAJ: Volume 75: Number 1
- VÎNTU, V., SAMUIL, C., POPOVICI, C.I., STAVARACHE, M., MUNTIANU, I., 2010 - Management Based on Organic Inputs of a *Nardus stricta* L. and *Festuca rubra* L. Meadow from the Dorna Depression, Lucrări Științifice – vol. 53, Nr. 2/2010, seria Agronomie, University of Agricultural Sciences and Veterinary Medicine, Iași.
- VOȘGAN, ZORICA, JELEA, STELA, MARIAN, MONICA, MARE ROSCA, OANA, MIHALESCU, LUCIA, 2019 - Assessment of Biomass Production on Pastoral Meadows in the Gutâi Mountains, Bulletin UASVM series Agriculture 76(2) / 2019.