

THE APIARIAN IMPORTANCE OF CERTAIN RUDERAL AND SEGETAL WEEDS GROWING ON THE ROMANIAN TERRITORY

IMPORTANȚA APICOLĂ A UNOR SPECII DE BURUIENI RUDERALE ȘI SEGETALE DE PE TERITORIUL ROMÂNIEI

Daciana SAVA, Liliana PANAITESCU

Faculty of Natural and Agricultural Sciences, "Ovidius" University, Constanța, Romania
Corresponding author: Daciana Sava, email: dacianas@yahoo.com

Abstract: In this paper we showed that certain species of ruderal and segetal species of weed have importance in apiculture, being a source of honey, nectar and pollen. So, some species can be of economic importance, instead of being eliminated from the agricultural cultures.

Rezumat: În această lucrare sunt prezentate, ca urmare a consultării literaturii de specialitate, câteva specii de buruieni cu importanță apicolă. Astfel în cazurile în care acest lucru este posibil este studiată posibilitatea utilizării și valorificării lor, îndeosebi la cele cu pragul de dăunare mic și când se poate menține îmburuienarea în cultură la un nivel la care plantele de cultură să nu aibă de suferit.

Key words: ruderal weeds, segetal weeds, apiarian importance

Cuvinte cheie: buruieni segetale, buruieni ruderale, importanța apicolă

INTRODUCTION

The great Romanian agronomist Gh. Ionescu Sisești said that weeds are "wild plants adapted to live together with cultivated plants, which they disturb in their development, destroy or eliminate from the field." Berca M. (1996) indicated that: "From a biological point of view, weeds are plants that have entered spontaneously or have been introduced involuntarily into cultivated biotopes – unwanted, disturbing or harmful plants when they exceed a certain level of density, and which generate several prejudices such as the diminishing of quality and reduction of production quantity.

At present, certain researchers believe that not all weeds are harmful. Some of them even have economical importance. Several of them can be utilized as food for humans (*Achillea millefolium*, *Alisma paltago-aquatica*, *Artemisia absinthium*, *Capsella bursa pastoris*, *Cardaria draba*, *Chenopodium album*, *Malva silvestris*, *Rumex crispus*, *Taraxacum officinale*, etc).

Others are used in medicine to prepare different drugs or teas (*Consolida regalis*, *Centaurea cyanus*, *Datura stramonium*, *Melithus officinalis*, *Mentha aquatica*, *Papaver rhoeas*, *Senecio vulgaris*, *Viola tricolor*). Also, some weeds are used to dye textile materials (*Arctium lappa*, *Chelidonium majus*, *Consolida regalis*, etc), while others can be used for ecological pest control (*Artemisia absinthium*, *Consolida regalis*, *Pirethrum* sp., *Rumex* sp., *Solanum nigrum*, etc).

A less utilized characteristic of weeds is that they are sought by bees.

MATERIAL AND METHOD

The present paper, realized as a result of consulting specialized literature, has the purpose of drawing the attention towards the fact that weeds can have important characteristics that may be rendered valuable in various fields, even though they are mostly regarded as unwanted, harmful species growing in agricultural cultures. In this regard, the weed species

have been grouped on botanical families, according to their apiarian importance.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

It is considered that weeds appeared the moment man created the first cultivated field. Since they found there much better conditions for their development, they adapted and became undesirable through the damages caused to the cultures.

In spite of this, certain positive aspects of their presence in the cultivated fields are also mentioned: the improvement of the soil with organic matter as a result of tilling, the stabilization of sandy soils, forage for the livestock in the absence of other species (Berca, 1996) and last but not least, their role alongside the other plants in enriching the air with oxygen resulted from their photosynthesis processes.

In the latest years, modern opinions have emerged regarding the integrated management of weeds, on the one hand reconsidering the idea that they have no economical value, and on the other, accepting the idea that, in order to maintain the biodiversity, the presence of weeds must be accepted in the cultures up to the economical level of pests (Ciocărlan et al., 2004; Muntean L.I. et al., 2003).

Man can also benefit from those weed species of alimentary importance (*Alliaria petiolata* – salad and condiment, *Chenopodium album* – broth, *Urtica dioica* – broth, stew), with medicinal characteristics (*Geum urbanum*, *Polygonum aviculare*, *Potentilla anserina*), or used in the cosmetics industry (*Matricharia chamomilla*, *Chelidonium majus*, etc.) (Budoï, 1996)

Many weeds are visited by bees, having thus apiarian importance. Some species have great apiarian economical weight, others moderate or more reduced, according to species and their geographical distribution. Also, the nectar concentration and production vary (sometimes significantly from one species to the other) according to the structure of the nectarial glands. (Ifteni, 1964) (Table 1, Table 2).

CONCLUSIONS

Considering the information given, with the intention of realizing a preliminary, theoretical study, it can be observed that numerous species (among the most common ruderal or segetal weeds) are not harmful, but may constitute in certain cases, considerable reserves of nectar and pollen, which indicate their apiarian importance.

What must also be taken into account is the fact that sometimes, pest control by means of herbicides can have unexpected effects, leading to situations in which the biodiversity is seriously affected. In certain European countries, there are endangered segetal species (Ciocărlan et al., 2004).

Thus, whenever possible, it is recommended to use and render them valuable, especially those with a low pest level and when weeds can be maintained in a culture at a level that does not affect cultivated plants.

LITERATURE

1. BERCA M., *Combaterea buruienilor din culturile agricole*, Editura Fermierul Român, București, 1996
2. BUDOÏ GH., PENESCU A., *Agrotehnica*, Ed. Ceres, București, 1996
3. CIOCĂRLAN V., BERCA M., CHIRILĂ C., COSTE I., POPESCU GH.– *Flora segetală a României*, Editura Ceres, București, 2004
4. IFTENI LUCICA, *Structura anatomică a nectarilor florale și secreția de nectar la câteva specii din clasa Dicotyledonatae*, Teza de doctorat, București, 1964
5. MUNTEAN L.I., BORCEAN I., AXINTE M., ROMAN GH. V., *Fitotehnie*, Ed. Ion Ionescu de la Brad, Iași, 2003

Table 1

Weeds with high and medium apiarian importance

Scientific name	Romanian popular name	Importance
Familia Labiatae		
<i>Mentha aquatica</i>	Izma broaștei	220 kg/ha honey; nectar, pollen
Familia Papaveraceae		
<i>Papaver rhoeas</i>	Macul roșu	Pollen
Familia Boraginaceae		
<i>Echium vulgare</i>	Iarba șarpelui	10 kg/ha honey; pollen,
<i>Symphytum officinale</i>	Tătăneasă	130-220 kg/ha Honey; nectar, pollen, nectar with 0,7-1,8 mg sugar/flower
Familia Compositae		
<i>Helianthus tuberosus</i>	Nap porcesc	30-60 kg/ha honey; pollen, nectar, 0,09- 0,3 mg sugar/flower
<i>Taraxacum officinale</i>	Păpădie	200 kg/ha honey; nectar, pollen
Familia Fabaceae		
<i>Melilotus officinalis</i>	Sulfină	130-300 kg/ha honey; nectar, pollen
Familia Labiatae		
<i>Lamium album</i>	Urzică moartă	50-150 kg/ha honey; nectar, pollen
<i>Lamium purpureum</i>	Sugel	50-90 kg/ha; nectar 0,2-0,6 mg/flower; nectar concentration 21%
<i>Leonurus cardiaca</i>	Talpa găștii	230-400 kg/ha honey; pollen, nectar 0,26-1,3 mg/fl. with 32% concentration
<i>Lycopus europaeus</i>	Cervană	50-200 kg/ha honey; nectar, pollen
<i>Marubium vulgare</i>	Unguraș	50-60 kg/ha honey; nectar, pollen
<i>Mentha pulegium</i>	Busuiocul cerbilor	100 kg/ha miere; nectar, pollen
<i>Prunella vulgaris</i>	Busuioc sălbatic	90-200 kg/ha honey; nectar, pollen 0,38-0,086 mg/fl. with 20-25% concentration
Familia Lythraceae		
<i>Lythrum salicaria</i>	Răchitan	200 kg/ha honey; nectar, pollen, nectar 0,2-1,2 mg/flower, with 11-62% cu o concentration
Familia Polygonaceae		
<i>Polygonum aviculare</i>	Troscot	40 kg/ha honey; nectar, pollen
Familia Rosaceae		
<i>Rubus caesius</i>	Mur de mirește	30-50 kg/ha miere; nectar, pollen
Familia Umbelliferae		
<i>Eryngium campestre</i>	Scaiul dracului	100 kg/ha miere; nectar, pollen

Table 2

Weeds with low apiarian importance

Scientific name	Romanian popular name	Importance
Familia Caprifoliaceae		
<i>Sambucus ebulus</i>	Boz	30-40 kg/ha honey; nectar, pollen
Familia Compositae		
<i>Arctium lappa</i>	Brusture	300 kg/ha honey ; nectar, pollen
<i>Centaurea cyanus</i>	Albăstriță	50-60 kg/ha honey ; nectar, pollen
Familia Cruciferae		
<i>Brassica rapa</i>	Rapiță sălbatică	30-100 kg/ha honey ; nectar, pollen
<i>Barbarea vulgaris</i>	Crușățea	30-40 kr/ha honey nectar 0,6mg/fl.
Familia Resedaceae		
<i>Reseda lutea</i>	Rechie	50-60 kg/ha honey nectar, pollen
Familia Labiatae		
<i>Ballota nigra</i>	Cătușe	20-60kg/ha honey ; nectar, pollen
Familia Onagraceae		
<i>Epilobium hirsutum</i>	Pufuliță	40-60 kg/ha honey ; nectar, pollen, nectar 0,3-0,5 mg/flower
Familia Malvaceae		
<i>Althaea officinalis</i>	Nalbă mare	50-100 kg/ha honey ; nectar, pollen