

COMPARATIVE ASSESSMENT OF SOIL CHEMICAL PROPERTIES AND FERTILITY IN SÂNANDREI, ROMANIA

Marius Silviu STROIA¹, (ORCID: 0009-0009-7158-7586)

Casiana MIHUȚ¹, (ORCID: 0000-0001-6263-6475)

Anișoara DUMA COPCEA¹, (ORCID: 0000-0002-7152-7307)

Ciprian STROIA¹, (ORCID: 0000-0001-9163-5332)

Adalbert OKROS¹, (ORCID: 0009-0004-2881-5866)

Dumitru RADU¹

¹University of Life Sciences "King Mihai I" from Timisoara, Romania

Corresponding author: casiana_mihut@usvt.ro

Abstract. This study aims to comparatively evaluate the chemical properties of two representative soils from Sânanndrei area (Timiș County, Romania), namely mollic preluvosol and eutric gleysol, in order to highlight their fertility status and agricultural suitability. The research was based on detailed pedological profiles, with chemical analyses performed on genetic horizons. The investigated parameters included soil reaction (pH), humus content, total nitrogen, mobile phosphorus and potassium, sum of exchangeable bases (SB), cation exchange capacity (CEC), and base saturation degree (V). Standard laboratory methods were applied, including potentiometric determination of pH, wet oxidation for humus, Kjeldahl method for total nitrogen, and extraction methods for mobile nutrients. The data were processed using descriptive and comparative statistical approaches, including range, mean values, and profile trends for each parameter. Results showed that mollic preluvosol presents a wider pH range (5.80–8.40) and higher mean values of mobile nutrients, particularly phosphorus (12.3 ppm) and potassium (145.3 ppm), indicating a more balanced chemical fertility. In contrast, eutric gleysol is characterized by higher humus (up to 2.97%) and total nitrogen content (mean 0.116%), as well as greater cation exchange capacity (mean 31.06 me/100 g soil), but lower availability of mobile nutrients and a more acidic reaction in surface horizons. Statistical comparison highlights that preluvosol is chemically more suitable for intensive agricultural use, while gleysol shows limitations related to nutrient availability and hydromorphic conditions. The findings emphasize the need for differentiated soil management strategies, including fertilization and drainage measures, to optimize agricultural productivity under local pedoclimatic conditions.

Keywords: soil chemical properties; soil fertility; preluvosol; gleysol; nutrient availability; cation exchange capacity; Romania

INTRODUCTION

Soil is an essential component of terrestrial ecosystems, having a fundamental role in supporting agricultural production, regulating biogeochemical circuits and maintaining the global ecological balance (FAO, 2015; BRADY AND WEIL, 2016; LAL, 2015).

Soil chemical properties, such as reaction (pH), humus content, total nitrogen, phosphorus, and mobile potassium, as well as cation exchange capacity, are key indicators of soil fertility and ability to support plant development (HILLEL, 2004; MIHUȚ ET AL., 2018; MONTANARELLA ET AL., 2016; GREGORY ET AL., 2016).

Globally, chemical soil degradation, manifested by acidification, salinization or nutrient depletion, is a major problem, affecting agricultural productivity and food security (FAO, 2015; LAL AND STEWART, 2012; SMITH ET AL., 2016). In Europe, studies have shown significant variations in chemical properties depending on pedoclimatic conditions and land use, highlighting the role of agricultural management in maintaining fertility (JONES ET AL., 2012; TÓTH ET AL., 2017; PANAGOS ET AL., 2015; HORN ET AL., 2004; LAL AND STEWART, 2012; DEXTER, 2004).

In Romania, soil research has shown that the chemical properties of soils are closely correlated with pedogenetic processes and parental material (FLOREA AND MUNTEANU, 2012; FLOREA ET AL., 2014; BLAGA ET AL., 2005; BĂLTEANU AND BADEA, 2007). The soils of the Western Plain, including those in the Timișoara area, present a great diversity of chemical conditions, generated by the alternation of leaching, accumulation and hydromorphy processes (DUMITRU ET AL., 2011; IANOȘ ET AL., 1997; MIHUȚ ET AL., 2023; STROIA ET AL., 2025).

In this context, the comparative analysis of the chemical properties of preluvosols and gleiosols is essential for understanding fertility differences and optimizing agricultural use. The purpose of the paper is to comparatively evaluate the chemical properties of these two types of soil in Sânnandrei and to interpret the pedological and agronomic implications.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

The study was carried out in the area of Sânnandrei commune, located in the north-western part of Timiș County, in the vicinity of Timișoara, within the geomorphological unit of the Banat Plain. From a geographical point of view, the area is characterized by lowland relief, poorly fragmented, developed on loessoidal deposits and fluvials, which constitute the parent material for the analyzed soils. The climatic conditions are specific to a temperate-continental climate, with sub-Mediterranean influences, characterized by average annual temperatures of about 10–11°C and annual rainfall of 550–600 mm, which determines a variable water regime and, locally, conditions of excess humidity (MIRCOV ET AL., 2025).

From a pedological point of view, two representative types of soil were selected in the study, namely the soft preluvosol and the typical eutric gleiosol, which reflect the main conditions of formation and evolution of the soils in this region. The soft preluvosol is developed on loessoid deposits and presents a well-differentiated profile, characterized by clay-alluvial processes and accumulation of fine fractions in the subsurface horizons. The typical eutric gleiosol is formed on fluvial deposits and is influenced by the high level of groundwater, presenting obvious hydromorphic features, generated by glaciation processes.

The study material consisted of representative soil profiles for the two soil types, for which the chemical properties were determined on genetic horizons, according to the standard pedological methodology used in soil characterization studies in Romania. The analyses focused on the main chemical indicators of soil fertility, namely soil reaction (pH), humus content, total nitrogen, mobile phosphorus, mobile potassium, sum of exchangeable bases (SB), hydrolytic acidity (AH), total cation exchange capacity (CEC/T) and degree of saturation in bases (V%).

The determination of the soil reaction was performed potentiometrically, in soil-water suspension, expressed as pH in H₂O. The humus content was determined by the wet oxidation method, and the total nitrogen by the Kjeldahl method, widely used for the evaluation of the total nitrogen reserves in the soil. Mobile phosphorus and mobile potassium were determined by extraction with specific solutions (Egner-Riehm-Domingo method), the results being expressed in ppm, as an indicator of immediate availability for plants. The sum of the exchangeable bases was determined by the extraction of the basic cations (Ca²⁺, Mg²⁺, K⁺, Na⁺), and the total cation exchange capacity was calculated as the sum of the bases and hydrolytic acidity. The degree of saturation in the bases was determined as the percentage ratio between the sum of the bases and the total cation exchange capacity.

The data processing was carried out through descriptive and comparative statistical methods, in order to highlight the differences between the two types of soil and the variability on the profile. For each chemical indicator, the variation intervals (minimum–maximum), the

average values and the evolution trends per profile were determined. The comparative analysis made it possible to identify significant differences between preluvosol and gleiosol in terms of soil reaction, organic matter content and mobile nutrient levels.

The graphical representation of the data was made using a series of real values for each analyzed horizon, the graphs being elaborated in English, according to the requirements of international scientific journals. These include representations of the variation of pH, humus, total nitrogen, phosphorus and mobile potassium, as well as indicators of the adsorbent complex, facilitating the visual interpretation of the differences between the two soils.

The methodology adopted allows for an integrated assessment of the chemical properties of the studied soils and provides the basis for interpreting the relationships between pedogenetic processes and chemical fertility, as well as for making recommendations on agricultural use and the necessary breeding measures.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

The analysis of the chemical properties of the soft preluvosol and the typical eutric gleiosol in the Sânanndrei area highlights significant differences both in the distribution of the chemical indicators and in their agronomic functionality. Differences are closely related to the dominant pedogenetic processes, namely clay-dilution in the case of preluvosol and gleiation in the case of gleiosol (table 1).

Table 1.

Comparative chemical properties of the soils of Sânanndrei		
Indicator	Molic preluvosol	Typical eutric gleiosol
pH	5.80–8.40	5.45–7.00
Humus (%)	1.42–2.42	1.31–2.97
Total N (%)	0.070–0.120	0.080–0.147
Available P (ppm)	2.7–22.5	4.0–8.0
Available K (ppm)	126–157	10–104
SB (me/100 g)	17.65–25.17	23.15–28.84
T (me/100 g)	22.59–27.87	29.76–32.32
V (%)	76.9–92.6	77.19–89.23

The analysis of the soil reaction highlights the fact that the soft preluvosol shows a wider variation of pH on the profile, registering values from low acid in the superficial horizon to low alkaline in the deep horizons. This evolution is illustrated in Figure 1, where a progressive increase in pH values is observed with depth, which reflects the influence of carbonate parent material and the accumulation of changeable bases.

In contrast, typical eutric gleiosol exhibits a more acidic reaction in the surface layer, with a slower growth towards neutrality at depth. This difference indicates a lower buffering capacity of the reaction in the agronomic active layer and may lead to limitations in the availability of some nutrients, in particular phosphorus.

The humus content, represented in Figure 2, highlights the fact that gleiosol has higher values in the upper horizons, reaching almost 3%, compared to about 2.4% in the preluvosol.




Figure 1. Comparative chemical properties of molic preluvosol and eutric gleysol.




Figure 2. Humus content in the two soils

This accumulation is specific to hydromorphic conditions, where the decomposition of organic matter is slowed down. However, this quantitative advantage does not mean superior fertility, as low mineralization limits nutrient availability.

The same trend is confirmed by the total nitrogen content, shown in Figure 3, where gleysol registers higher values in the surface layer.




Figure 3. Total nitrogen content in the two soils

However, the nitrogen in gleysol is less accessible to plants, due to the reduced microbiological activity under conditions of excess humidity.

The most important differences between the two soils occur in the case of mobile nutrients. Figure 4 highlights the mobile phosphorus content, which is significantly higher in the preluvosol in the arable layer.




Figure 4. Mobile phosphorus in the two soils

In gleysol, low values of mobile phosphorus indicate a major chemical limitation, which can directly affect crop productivity.

A similar behavior is observed for mobile potassium, shown in Figure 5, where preluvosol has consistently high values, while gleysol shows very large variations and extremely low minimum values.




Figure 5. Mobile potassium in the two soils

This indicates an unstable supply of potassium in gleisols, which can lead to deficiencies for high-consumption crops.

The adsorbent complex is analyzed in Figures 6 and 7, which highlight the values of the sum of the bases and the cation exchange capacity.




Figure 6. Exchange complex in mollic preluvosoil




Figure 7. Exchange complex in eutric gleysol

Gleysols show higher values of these indicators, which reflect a higher cation-holding capacity. However, this advantage is limited by hydromorphic conditions, which reduce the efficiency of nutrient utilization.

The degree of saturation in the bases, shown in Figure 8, confirms that both soils are eubasic, but the preluvosol has slightly higher values.




Figure 8. Base saturation degree in the two soils

The comparative analysis of the main chemical indicators (figure 9) highlights that mollic preluvosol presents higher values of mobile nutrients, especially potassium and phosphorus, while eutric gleysol shows higher cation exchange capacity and slightly higher humus content.”




Figure 9. Comparative chemical properties of mollic preluvosol and eutric gleysol.

Table 2 presents the descriptive statistical interpretation.

Tabel 2.

Comparative descriptive statistics		
Indicator	Preluvosol	Gleiosol
Mean pH	7.05	6.04
Mean humus (%)	2.04	2.09
Mean total N (%)	0.101	0.116
Mean available P (ppm)	12.3	6.33
Mean available K (ppm)	145.3	66.0
Mean SB	21.99	25.70
Mean T	25.35	31.06
Mean V (%)	86.78	82.62

The interpretation of these values shows that soft preluvosol is better chemically balanced and more favorable for agriculture, due to the higher level of mobile nutrients and the close to neutrality reaction. Typical eutric gleiosol, although it has a higher cation-holding capacity and a higher organic matter content, is limited by reduced nutrient availability and hydromorphic conditions.

From an agronomic point of view, preluvosol is suitable for field crops, such as wheat, corn, sunflower, rapeseed and soybeans, as well as for fruit plantations. Gleiosol requires ameliorative measures, especially drainage and fertilization, to be able to support productive crops.

CONCLUSIONS

The comparative analysis of the chemical properties of the soft preluvosol and the typical eutric gleiosol in the Sânnandrei area revealed significant differences in terms of the level of fertility and agronomic functionality of the two types of soil. The results obtained show that soft preluvosol presents a more favorable reaction for most agricultural crops, characterized by an evolution from low acid in the surface layer to neutral and low alkaline in depth, which ensures a better availability of nutrients.

At the same time, preluvosol stands out for higher values of mobile phosphorus and potassium in the arable horizon, which gives it a clear agronomic advantage over gleiosol. The high degree of saturation in bases and the balanced distribution of nutrients indicate good chemical fertility and adequate capacity to sustain agricultural production.

Typical eutric gleiosol, on the other hand, exhibits a higher content of humus and total nitrogen in the surface layer, as well as a higher cation exchange capacity, reflecting a high chemical nutrient retention potential. However, this advantage is limited by the more acidic reaction in the upper horizons and the low levels of mobile phosphorus and potassium, as well as by the specific hydromorphic conditions, which affect the actual availability of nutrients and the biological activity of the soil.

The descriptive statistical analysis confirmed that the soft preluvosol presents more favorable average values for the indicators directly involved in plant nutrition, while gleiosol, although rich in organic matter and with a developed adsorptive complex, is less agronomically efficient. Overall, it can be concluded that soft preluvosol is better adapted for intensive agricultural use, while typical eutric gleiosol has limitations that require specific ameliorative interventions.

Based on the results obtained, it is necessary to adopt differentiated management measures for the two types of soil, in order to optimize fertility and agricultural use.

In the case of soft preluvosol, it is recommended to maintain and improve the organic matter content by applying organic fertilizers and by capitalizing on plant residues, in order to

stabilize the soil structure and sustain long-term fertility. It is also necessary to apply a balanced fertilization, with a focus on replenishing phosphorus reserves in the arable horizon, in order to prevent the decrease in fertility under conditions of intensive exploitation.

Pentru gleiosolul tipic eutric, măsurile ameliorative trebuie să fie mai complexe și să vizeze în primul rând reglarea regimului hidric. În acest sens, lucrările de drenaj și desecare sunt esențiale pentru reducerea excesului de umiditate și îmbunătățirea condițiilor de aerare. Din punct de vedere chimic, se recomandă aplicarea fertilizării fosfatice și potasice, în vederea corectării deficitului de nutrienți mobili, precum și utilizarea amendamentelor pentru reglarea reacției solului în stratul superficial.

At the same time, for both types of soil, it is important to implement sustainable management systems, which include crop rotation, avoiding work in conditions of excessive humidity and periodic monitoring of chemical properties, in order to adapt agricultural technologies to specific soil conditions.

By applying these measures, it is possible to ensure the efficient capitalization of the productive potential of the soils in the Sânmăndrei area and the maintenance of their fertility in the long term.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- BĂLTEANU, D., BADEA, L., 2007 - Geomorfologia României, Editura Universitară: București, România.
- BLAGA, G., FILIPOV, F., RUSU, I., UDRESCU, S., VASILE, D., 2005 – Pedologie, AcademicPres: Cluj-Napoca, România.
- BRADY, N.C., WEIL, R.R., 2016 - The Nature and Properties of Soils, 15th ed.; Pearson: New York, NY, USA.
- DEXTER, A.R., 2004 -, Soil physical quality: Part I. Theory, effects of soil texture, density and organic matter. *Geoderma*, 120(3–4), 201–214.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2003.09.004>
- FAO, 2015 - Status of the World's Soil Resources, Food and Agriculture Organization: Rome, Italy.
- FLOREA, N., DUMITRU, M., MUNTEANU, I., 2014 - Solurile României, Editura Sitech: Craiova, România.
- FLOREA, N., MUNTEANU, I., 2012 - Sistemul Român de Taxonomie a Solurilor (SRTS), Editura Sitech: Craiova, România.
- GREGORY, A.S., WHALLEY, W.R., DEXTER, A.R., WATTS, C.W., BIRD, N.R.A., HALLETT, P.D, WHITMORE, A.P., 2016 - Calculation of the compression index and precompression stress from soil compression curves. *Geoderma*, 262, 1–8.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2015.08.006>
- HILLEL, D., 2004 - Introduction to Environmental Soil Physics, Elsevier: Amsterdam, Netherlands.
- HORN, R., BAUMGARTL, T., KAYSER, R., 2004 - Soil structure and its influence on soil functions, *Journal of Plant Nutrition and Soil Science*, 167(1), 1–12.
<https://doi.org/10.1002/jpln.200321200>
- HORN, R., FLEIGE, H., 2009 - Risk assessment of subsoil compaction for arable soils in Northwest Germany, *Soil and Tillage Research*, 102(2), 201–208.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.still.2008.07.019>
- IANOȘ, G., PUȘCĂ, I., GOIAN, M., 1997 - Solurile Banatului, Ed.Mirton, Timișoara, România.
- JONES, A., PANAGOS, P., BARCELO, S., BOURAOUL, F., BOSCO, C., DEWITTE, O., GARDI, C., ERHARD, M., HERVÁS, J., HIEDERER, R. ET AL., 2012 - The State of Soil in Europe, European Commission: Luxembourg.
- LAL, R., 2015 - Restoring soil quality to mitigate soil degradation, *Sustainability*, 7(5), 5875–5895.
<https://doi.org/10.3390/su7055875>
- LAL, R., STEWART, B.A., 2012 - Soil Health and Climate Change, CRC Press: Boca Raton, USA.
- MIHUȚ, C., MATEOC-SÎRB, N., DUMA COPCEA, A., NIȚĂ, L., OKROS, A., NIȚĂ, S., MATEOC-SÎRB, T., MÎRCOV, V., 2018 - Assessment of fertilization plans on basic crops. A case study.

- Scientific Papers Series Management, Economic Engineering in Agriculture and Rural Agricultural Science, 50 (1), pg. 114-121.
- MIHUȚ, C., MATEOC-SÎRB, N., DUMA COPCEA, A., NIȚĂ, S., LAȚO, I., CIOLAC, V., COZMA, A., OKROS, A., 2023 - Assessing the state of fertility (Quality) of the soils for sustainable agriculture in Remetea Mare, Timis County, Romania. Scientific Papers Series Management, Economic Engineering in Agriculture and Rural Development, Vol. 23, Issue 2, 2023 PRINT ISSN 2284-7995, E-ISSN 2285-3952.
https://managementjournal.usamv.ro/pdf/vol.23_2/Art55.pdf
- MIRCOV, V.D., SĂRĂȚEANU, V., MIHUȚ, C., POȘTA, D.S., DURĂU, C.C., 2025 - Impact of extreme weather conditions on the production of the main field crops in Western Romania over the 2018-2023 period–case study. Agriculture & Forestry/Poljoprivreda i šumarstv. Volume 71. Number 3, 103-112.
- MONTANARELLA, L., PENNOCK, D.J., MCKENZIE, N., BADRAOUI, M., CHUDE, V., BAPTISTA, I., MAMO, T., YEMEFACK, M., SINGH AULAKH, M., YAGI, K. ET AL., 2016 - World's soils are under THREAT. SOIL, 2, 79–82. [HTTPS://DOI.ORG/10.5194/soil-2-79-2016](https://doi.org/10.5194/soil-2-79-2016)
- PANAGOS, P., BORRELLI, P., POESEN, J., BALLABIO, C., LUGATO, E., MEUSBURGER, K., MONTANARELLA, L., ALEWELL, C. 2015 - The new assessment of soil loss by water erosion in Europe, Environmental Science & Policy, Volume 54, Pages 438-447, ISSN 1462-9011, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsci.2015.08.012>.
- SMITH, P., HOUSE, J.I., BUSTAMANTE, M., SOBOCKÁ, J., HARPER, R., PAN, G., WEST, P.C., CLARK, J.M., ADHYA, T., RUMPEL, C. ET AL., 2016 - Global change pressures on soils. Nature Reviews Earth & Environment, 1, 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s43017-020-0094-0>
- STROIA, M.S., MIHUȚ, C., DUMA-COPCEA, A., MAZĂRE, V., STROIA, C., OKROS, A., 2025 - Integrated pedological assessment of soils in bazoșu vechi (Timiș-Romania): fertility, limitations and breeding direct Analele Universității din Craiova, seria Agricultură – Montanologie – Cadastru (Annals of the University of Craiova - Agriculture, Montanology, Cadastre Series), Vol. 54/1/2024.